

RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Digital Transformation As A Strategic, Organizational, And Socio-Technical Reconfiguration: Integrating Leadership, Technology, And Value Creation In Contemporary Organizations

Dr. Mateo Álvarez

Faculty of Economics and Business, University of Barcelona, Spain

VOLUME: Vol.06 Issue02 2026

PAGE: 01-05

Copyright © 2026 European International Journal of Philological Sciences, this is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 4.0 International License. Licensed under Creative Commons License a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

## Abstract

Digital transformation has emerged as one of the most consequential organizational phenomena of the twenty-first century, reshaping how firms create value, organize work, engage with customers, and compete in dynamic environments. While early discourse often framed digital transformation as a predominantly technological undertaking, contemporary research increasingly recognizes it as a deeply strategic, organizational, and socio-technical process. Drawing strictly and exclusively on the provided body of literature, this article develops an integrated, publication-ready analysis of digital transformation that synthesizes strategic management, innovation, leadership, and technology perspectives. The study aims to advance conceptual clarity by examining what is genuinely novel about digital transformation, how organizations attempt to capture its value, and why many initiatives fail to deliver expected outcomes. Using a qualitative, interpretive research design grounded in systematic literature synthesis, the article analyzes foundational theories, emerging managerial patterns, and empirical insights from leading academic and practitioner-oriented sources. The findings reveal that digital transformation is best understood as an ongoing reconfiguration of organizational capabilities, leadership logics, and value creation mechanisms under conditions of technological acceleration and strategic impermanence. Rather than a linear transition from analog to digital, transformation unfolds as a continuous process shaped by transient competitive advantages, evolving customer expectations, and the convergence of technologies such as artificial intelligence, data analytics, platforms, and the Internet of Things. The discussion highlights critical tensions between exploration and exploitation, centralization and decentralization, and technological ambition and organizational readiness. The article contributes to theory by integrating disparate research streams into a coherent analytical framework and to practice by articulating leadership and governance principles for managing digital transformation as a long-term strategic journey rather than a finite project.

## KEY WORDS

Digital transformation, strategic management, leadership, value creation, organizational change, artificial intelligence.

## INTRODUCTION

Digital transformation has become one of the most widely discussed yet persistently ambiguous concepts in

contemporary management scholarship and practice. Organizations across industries increasingly invoke digital transformation as a strategic imperative, a response to competitive disruption, and a pathway to renewed growth. Despite this prominence, the concept remains contested, with divergent interpretations regarding its scope, drivers, and outcomes. Early managerial narratives often equated digital transformation with the adoption of digital technologies, such as enterprise systems, e-commerce platforms, or data analytics tools. However, a growing body of research challenges this narrow framing, arguing that digital transformation involves far more than technological substitution and instead constitutes a fundamental rethinking of organizational strategies, structures, processes, and value propositions (Lanzolla et al., 2020; Nadkarni & Prügl, 2021).

The acceleration of digital technologies has coincided with heightened environmental turbulence, characterized by shortened product life cycles, shifting consumer behaviors, and intensified competitive pressures. In this context, digital transformation is frequently portrayed as a means of achieving agility, scalability, and resilience. Yet empirical evidence suggests that many organizations struggle to translate digital investments into sustained performance improvements. Practitioner-oriented studies highlight that a significant proportion of digital transformation initiatives fail to meet their objectives, often due to misaligned strategies, insufficient leadership engagement, and organizational inertia (McKinsey & Company, 2022; PECB, 2022). This persistent gap between aspiration and realization raises critical questions about how digital transformation should be conceptualized and managed.

The academic literature reflects similar tensions. While some scholars emphasize the novelty of digital technologies and their capacity to recombine resources in unprecedented ways, others caution against overstating discontinuity and argue that digital transformation builds upon long-standing theories of organizational change and innovation (Lanzolla et al., 2020). Moreover, research spans multiple disciplines, including strategy, information systems, marketing, operations, and entrepreneurship, resulting in fragmented insights and limited theoretical integration (Paul et al., 2024; Nambisan et al., 2019).

Against this backdrop, the present article seeks to address three interrelated problems. First, it aims to clarify what distinguishes digital transformation from prior waves of technological change by synthesizing theoretical and empirical

contributions across disciplines. Second, it examines how organizations attempt to capture value from digital transformation and why this process proves so challenging in practice. Third, it explores the role of leadership and organizational capabilities in navigating the strategic and cultural tensions inherent in digital transformation. By doing so, the article responds to calls for integrative frameworks that move beyond technology-centric explanations and foreground the strategic and human dimensions of digital transformation (Li, 2020; Raković et al., 2024).

The literature gap addressed in this study lies not in the absence of research on digital transformation but in the limited consolidation of insights into a coherent, holistic perspective. Existing reviews provide valuable overviews but often stop short of deeply elaborating the theoretical implications of digital transformation as an ongoing, socio-technical reconfiguration rather than a discrete organizational initiative (Nadkarni & Prügl, 2021). This article contributes by offering an extensive, interpretive synthesis that emphasizes depth of analysis over breadth of coverage, thereby advancing both scholarly understanding and managerial relevance.

## **METHODOLOGY**

The methodological approach adopted in this study is qualitative, interpretive, and theory-driven, relying exclusively on an in-depth synthesis of the provided references. Rather than conducting empirical data collection, the research employs a structured literature analysis designed to extract, compare, and integrate key concepts, assumptions, and findings related to digital transformation. This approach is particularly appropriate given the conceptual and multidisciplinary nature of the phenomenon under investigation.

The analysis began with a close reading of each reference to identify its primary theoretical lens, empirical context, and core contributions. Particular attention was paid to how authors define digital transformation, the mechanisms through which value creation is theorized, and the organizational and leadership challenges highlighted. The sources include high-impact academic journals, systematic literature reviews, and practitioner-oriented insights, allowing for triangulation between theory and practice (Lamarre et al., 2023; McKinsey & Company, 2022).

Following this initial coding, the study employed thematic synthesis to identify recurring patterns and points of

divergence across the literature. Themes such as strategic renewal, leadership agency, technological convergence, and organizational capability development emerged as central analytical categories. These themes were then examined in relation to foundational management theories, including dynamic capabilities, transient competitive advantage, and innovation ecosystems, as discussed in the provided references (McGrath, 2013; Nambisan et al., 2019).

To ensure analytical rigor, the synthesis avoided superficial summarization and instead emphasized interpretive depth. Contradictions and tensions within the literature were treated as analytically productive rather than problematic, reflecting the complex and evolving nature of digital transformation. The methodology thus aligns with established approaches to integrative literature reviews that seek to generate new theoretical insights by reconfiguring existing knowledge rather than merely cataloging prior findings (Nadkarni & Prügl, 2021).

## **RESULTS**

The synthesis of the literature reveals several interrelated findings that collectively reshape understanding of digital transformation. First, digital transformation consistently emerges as a strategic phenomenon rather than a purely technological one. While digital technologies such as artificial intelligence, blockchain, and the Internet of Things serve as critical enablers, their impact depends fundamentally on how organizations integrate them into broader strategic and organizational logics (Chandrasekaran et al., 2019; Chui et al., 2021). Studies emphasize that technology adoption without corresponding changes in governance, culture, and business models rarely produces meaningful value (Bonnet & Westerman, 2020).

Second, the literature highlights the non-linear and ongoing nature of digital transformation. Contrary to managerial narratives that frame transformation as a project with a defined beginning and end, scholars argue that digital transformation unfolds as a continuous process of experimentation, learning, and adaptation (Lanzolla et al., 2020). This perspective aligns with the concept of transient advantage, which suggests that competitive advantages are increasingly temporary and require constant renewal (McGrath, 2013). Digital transformation thus becomes a mechanism through which organizations repeatedly reconfigure capabilities in response to shifting environmental

conditions.

Third, leadership emerges as a decisive factor in shaping digital transformation outcomes. Systematic reviews indicate that successful transformation depends not only on visionary leadership but also on distributed forms of leadership that empower cross-functional collaboration and experimentation (Raković et al., 2024). Leaders are required to navigate tensions between short-term performance pressures and long-term investment in digital capabilities, often in the absence of clear benchmarks or predictable returns (Li, 2020).

Fourth, value creation through digital transformation is shown to be multifaceted and context-dependent. Rather than generating value solely through efficiency gains or cost reduction, digital transformation enables new forms of customer engagement, data-driven decision-making, and innovation ecosystems (Lamarre et al., 2023; Nambisan et al., 2019). However, capturing this value requires complementary investments in skills, organizational redesign, and cultural change, which many organizations underestimate (PECB, 2022).

## **DISCUSSION**

The findings underscore the need to reconceptualize digital transformation as a socio-technical reconfiguration that transcends traditional boundaries between strategy, technology, and organization. One of the most significant theoretical implications concerns the role of digital technologies as both drivers and outcomes of organizational change. Rather than acting as exogenous shocks, digital technologies are increasingly endogenous to strategic decision-making, shaped by managerial interpretations and organizational contexts (Lanzolla et al., 2020).

The prominence of leadership in the literature invites a deeper examination of how leadership roles evolve under conditions of digital transformation. Traditional hierarchical models appear ill-suited to environments characterized by rapid technological change and uncertainty. Instead, the literature points toward more adaptive leadership approaches that emphasize sensemaking, experimentation, and learning (Li, 2020; Raković et al., 2024). This shift has profound implications for leadership development and governance structures.

At the same time, the literature reveals persistent limitations and challenges. Many studies rely on case-based evidence from large organizations, raising questions about

generalizability to small and medium-sized enterprises, which face distinct resource constraints (Costa & Rodrigues, 2023). Moreover, while artificial intelligence and data analytics receive substantial attention, less is known about their long-term organizational consequences, particularly in terms of employee autonomy, ethical considerations, and power dynamics (Brock & Von Wangenheim, 2019; de Nigris et al., 2020).

Future research opportunities abound. Scholars are encouraged to explore digital transformation as a multi-level phenomenon that spans individual cognition, organizational routines, and inter-organizational ecosystems (Paul et al., 2024). Longitudinal studies could shed light on how digital transformation trajectories evolve over time and how organizations learn from both successes and failures.

## CONCLUSION

This article has provided an extensive, integrative analysis of digital transformation grounded exclusively in the provided literature. By synthesizing strategic, organizational, and technological perspectives, it advances a holistic understanding of digital transformation as an ongoing process of socio-technical reconfiguration. The analysis demonstrates that digital transformation is neither a purely technological upgrade nor a one-time strategic initiative but a continuous journey shaped by leadership, organizational capabilities, and environmental dynamism.

For scholars, the study highlights the value of theoretical integration and interpretive depth in advancing understanding of complex organizational phenomena. For practitioners, it underscores the importance of aligning digital ambitions with organizational realities and viewing transformation as a long-term commitment rather than a short-term project. Ultimately, digital transformation emerges not as an optional endeavor but as a defining challenge of contemporary organizational life.

## REFERENCES

1. Bonnet, D., & Westerman, G. (2020). The new elements of digital transformation. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 62(2).
2. Brock, J. K. U., & Von Wangenheim, F. (2019). Demystifying AI: What digital transformation leaders can teach you about realistic artificial intelligence. *California Management Review*, 61(4), 110–134.
3. Brunetti, F., Matt, D. T., Bonfanti, A., De Longhi, A., Pedrini, G., & Orzes, G. (2020). Digital transformation challenges: strategies emerging from a multi-stakeholder approach. *The TQM Journal*, 32(4), 697–724.
4. Cakir, G., Iftikhar, R., Bielezov, A., Pourzolfaghar, Z., & Helfert, M. (2021). Omnichannel retailing: Digital transformation of a medium-sized retailer. *Journal of Information Technology Teaching Cases*, 11(2), 122–126.
5. Campbell, C., Sands, S., Ferraro, C., Tsao, H. Y. J., & Mavrommatis, A. (2020). From data to action: How marketers can leverage AI. *Business Horizons*, 63(2), 227–243.
6. Chandrasekaran, N., Somanah, R., Rugho, D., Dreepaul, R. K., Cunden, T. S. M., & Demkah, M. (2019). Digital transformation from leveraging blockchain technology, artificial intelligence, machine learning and deep learning. In *Information Systems Design and Intelligent Applications* (pp. 271–283). Springer Singapore.
7. Chintalapati, S., & Pandey, S. K. (2022). Artificial intelligence in marketing: A systematic literature review. *International Journal of Market Research*, 64(1), 38–68.
8. Chui, M., Collins, M., & Patel, M. (2021). The Internet of Things: Catching up to an accelerating opportunity.
9. Costa, P., & Rodrigues, H. (2023). The ever-changing business of e-commerce-net benefits while designing a new platform for small companies. *Review of Managerial Science*, 1–39.
10. de Nigris, S., Gomez-Gonzalez, E., Gomez, E., Martens, B., Iglesias Portela, M., Vespe, M., & Kotsev, A. (2020). Artificial Intelligence and Digital Transformation: Early Lessons from the COVID-19 Crisis. Publications Office of the European Union.
11. Lamarre, E., Chheda, S., Riba, M., Genest, V., & Nizam, A. (2023). The value of digital transformation. *Harvard Business Review*.
12. Lanzolla, G., Lorenz, A., Miron-Spektor, E., Schilling, M., Solinas, G., & Tucci, C. L. (2020). Digital transformation: What is new if anything? Emerging patterns and management research. *Academy of Management Discoveries*, 6(3), 341–350.
13. Li, F. (2020). Leading digital transformation: Three emerging approaches for managing the transition.

International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 40(6), 809–817.

- 14.** McGrath, R. (2013). Transient strategy. Harvard Business Review.
- 15.** McKinsey & Company. (2022). Three new mandates for capturing a digital transformation's full value. McKinsey & Company.
- 16.** Nadkarni, S., & Prügl, R. (2021). Digital transformation: A review, synthesis, and opportunities for future research. Management Review Quarterly, 71, 233–341.
- 17.** Nambisan, S., Wright, M., & Feldman, M. (2019). The digital transformation of innovation and entrepreneurship: Progress, challenges and key themes. Research Policy, 48(8), 1–9.
- 18.** Paul, J., Ueno, A., Dennis, C., Alamanos, E., Curtis, L., Foroudi, P., Kacprzak, A., Kunz, W. H., Liu, J., Marvi, R., Nair, S. L. S., Ozdemir, O., Pantano, E., Papadopoulos, T., Petit, O., Tyagi, S., & Wirtz, J. (2024). Digital transformation: A multidisciplinary perspective and future research agenda. International Journal of Consumer Studies, 48, e13015.
- 19.** PECB. (2022). Digital transformation challenges and how to overcome them.
- 20.** Raković, L., Marić, S., Milutinovic, L. D., Vukovic, V., & Bjekić, R. (2024). The role of leadership in managing digital transformation: A systematic literature review. Business Administration and Management, 27(2), 87–107.