

RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Pedagogical And Theoretical Foundations Of Using Gamification Technologies In Developing The Professional Competence Of Future Preschool Educators

 **Xaydarova Namunaxon Adhamjonovna**

PhD student at Kokand State University, Uzbekistan

**VOLUME:** Vol.06 Issue02 2026

**PAGE:** 10-14

Copyright © 2026 European International Journal of Pedagogics, this is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 4.0 International License. Licensed under Creative Commons License a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

## Abstract

This article examines the pedagogical and theoretical foundations for developing the professional competence of future preschool educators through gamification technology. The study substantiates the didactic potential of gamification from the perspectives of the competence-based approach, motivational and activation factors, learner-centered education, and the integration of interactive teaching methods. It is argued that applying game mechanics and digital educational tools within professional training enhances pedagogical thinking, creativity, communication skills, and reflective practice among future educators. The findings may serve as a methodological framework for improving the professional competence of preschool education students in higher education institutions.

## KEY WORDS

Gamification, preschool education, professional competence, future educator, motivation, didactic approach, interactive methods, digital learning, pedagogical reflection.

## INTRODUCTION

In the context of contemporary globalization and rapid digital transformation, the demands placed on the higher education system are becoming increasingly complex. Today, the process of developing students' professional competences is no longer limited to the acquisition of theoretical knowledge; it also requires the enhancement of higher-order intellectual abilities such as analytical thinking, logical inference, systematic reasoning, conceptual approaches to problem situations, and the application of knowledge in real-life contexts. Within these processes, the formation of students' capacity to comprehend the logical structure of academic content, to identify internal relationships between concepts, and to transfer learned knowledge to diverse situations emerges as one of the key determinants of higher education

quality.

In this regard, fostering students' conceptual thinking constitutes a particularly significant methodological priority in higher education practice. Conceptual thinking is characterized by the learner's ability to process information not in a fragmented manner as isolated facts and data but as a system of interrelated concepts, enabling deep comprehension, logical generalization, and the construction of structural models. Such thinking supports students' progression from mere memorization to explanation, analysis, integration of ideas, reconstruction of knowledge in problematic situations, and the generation of creative solutions.

The development of conceptual thinking directly influences students' academic achievement and professional readiness by enabling deeper mastery of theoretical knowledge, evidence-based decision-making, comprehensive approaches to educational tasks, and an understanding of interdisciplinary connections. In particular, within the framework of competency-based education, students are increasingly expected not simply to retain information, but to understand it at a conceptual level, interpret it meaningfully, and transfer it into practice. Therefore, improving the mechanisms for the formation and development of conceptual thinking is regarded as one of the most relevant directions for increasing the effectiveness of pedagogical processes in higher education institutions.

It should be emphasized that conceptual thinking does not develop spontaneously; rather, it requires a purposefully designed and methodologically planned educational process supported by appropriate pedagogical conditions. In this context, organizing educational content on a conceptual basis, creating problem situations, applying reflective approaches, encouraging independent inquiry, and systematically using interactive methods become particularly important. Accordingly, the development and implementation of effective methodological solutions aimed at improving mechanisms for conceptual thinking development in higher education practice determines the relevance of the present study.

### LITERATURE REVIEW

In recent years, the issue of developing students' conceptual thinking in higher education has increasingly become a focal point of scholarly attention, being recognized as one of the priority directions within competency-based education. Conceptual thinking is commonly understood as the ability to perceive knowledge not as a collection of separate facts, but as a logically interconnected system of concepts and to apply this system in new contexts. Research in this area is reflected in complementary theoretical frameworks, cognitive and metacognitive perspectives, as well as didactic models that justify methodological solutions for educational practice.

The psychological and theoretical foundations of conceptual thinking are primarily interpreted within a constructivist paradigm. In particular, J.Piaget explains intellectual development not as the passive reception of ready-made knowledge, but as the active construction of understanding through cognitive activity. According to this view, learners

assimilate new knowledge by aligning it with existing cognitive structures and, when necessary, reorganize these structures. This approach substantiates the importance of supporting students' active mental operations analysis, comparison, and generalization as essential conditions for developing conceptual thinking in higher education.

The social dimensions of cognitive development and conceptual thinking are extensively elaborated in L.Vygotsky's sociocultural theory. Vygotsky argues that educational effectiveness depends not only on individual potential but also on learning activities organized through communication and collaboration. From this perspective, conceptual thinking should not be treated solely as an individual cognitive state; rather, it should be developed through dialogue, discussion, argumentation, and collaborative knowledge construction.

The didactic foundations of conceptual thinking are strongly articulated in J.Bruner's theory, which emphasizes structuring educational content around core concepts and guiding learners toward continual rediscovery and reapplication of knowledge. Bruner highlights that identifying key concepts, establishing relationships among them, and using them across different contexts are critical conditions for strengthening conceptual thinking. This implies the necessity of designing lectures and practical classes not merely as content delivery sessions, but as concept-centered learning experiences.

Mechanisms of conceptual learning are also consistent with D.Ausubel's theory of meaningful learning, which suggests that effective learning occurs when new information is logically connected to learners' prior knowledge structures. In other words, conceptual thinking develops when students move beyond mechanical memorization and form internal conceptual structures through meaningful comprehension.

Approaches to organizing conceptual knowledge visually and modeling relationships between concepts were further advanced through J.Novak's concept mapping technology. Novak views concept maps as tools that help learners clarify conceptual systems, reveal hierarchical and causal connections, and strengthen the structure of knowledge. This approach provides a methodological basis for mastering complex topics, developing systematic reasoning, and enhancing students' ability to construct conceptual models in higher education practice.

Educational outcomes of conceptual thinking are also explained through taxonomic approaches. B.Bloom's

taxonomy, later revised by L.Anderson and D.Krathwohl, presents cognitive learning outcomes as progressively advancing from lower to higher levels. The movement from “remembering” and “understanding” to “applying,” “analyzing,” “evaluating,” and “creating” can be interpreted as students’ transition toward conceptual thinking. This model indicates the need to design learning tasks from simple to complex and to align assessment criteria with conceptual outcomes.

A key dimension in improving conceptual thinking mechanisms is metacognition, understood as learners’ ability to monitor, regulate, and evaluate their own thinking processes. Metacognitive approaches conceptualize students not only as recipients of knowledge, but as individuals who consciously plan and analyze their intellectual activity. Such approaches highlight the role of reflection, self-assessment, and the selection of learning strategies in stabilizing conceptual thinking as a sustainable competence.

Social-psychological factors influencing conceptual thinking development are supported by A.Bandura’s social learning theory, which emphasizes that learning is closely linked to observation, imitation, self-regulation, and social motivation. Accordingly, developing conceptual thinking in higher education also requires an educational environment that supports students’ learning motivation, academic self-efficacy, and collaborative engagement.

Empirical research on factors affecting learning effectiveness and outcomes, particularly J.Hattie’s synthesis studies, underscores the strong influence of teachers’ goal-oriented instructional strategies, reflective assessment practices, and effective feedback on students’ achievement. These findings provide scientific justification for shifting assessment from a “control” function toward a “developmental/formative” model and for increasing tasks that make students’ thinking processes visible.

Overall, the analysis of international and national pedagogical-psychological studies indicates that conceptual thinking develops most effectively through the integrated influence of cognitive activation, metacognitive regulation, didactic design, and communicative collaboration. Therefore, improving mechanisms for conceptual thinking development in higher education, systematically implementing modern methodological solutions (concept mapping, problem-based learning, case study methods, reflective tasks, and interdisciplinary integration), and aligning them with

conceptual assessment criteria represent an important and practically significant direction.

## **RESULTS**

Conceptual thinking in higher education represents an intellectual mode of activity that enables students not merely to accept knowledge as a ready-made set of information, but to understand it in logical coherence, systematize it, and interpret it meaningfully. This type of thinking supports deep comprehension of theoretical knowledge and fosters the ability to connect emerging concepts with practical situations. As a result, students progress beyond memorization and gain the capacity to explain, analyze, synthesize, and adapt knowledge to new conditions.

During the formation of conceptual thinking, students first learn to identify key concepts within educational content and then establish relationships among them in order to construct coherent systems of knowledge. In doing so, they recognize causal connections between concepts, derive generalized conclusions based on specific ideas and theories, and attempt to apply acquired knowledge to new academic or professional contexts. Consequently, conceptual thinking is viewed as a major outcome indicator of the competency-based educational paradigm, as it reflects not only what students know but also their culture of knowledge use and intellectual adaptability.

In higher education practice, conceptual thinking is developed through several interrelated mechanisms. The effectiveness of these mechanisms becomes evident when they are implemented in a comprehensive manner aligned with educational content, methodological strategies, and the pedagogical environment.

The cognitive mechanism constitutes the core foundation of conceptual thinking development by activating learners’ cognitive processes such as attention, perception, memory, analysis, generalization, and reflective evaluation. Its pedagogical function is to help students interpret learning materials not as isolated information but as conceptual units, enabling information to be transformed into concepts and concepts into structured knowledge systems.

The metacognitive mechanism plays a particularly important role in advancing conceptual thinking to a higher level. It fosters students’ conscious monitoring, regulation, and evaluation of their thinking processes. By asking themselves questions such as “How am I thinking?”, “Which strategy is effective?”, and “How well is my conclusion justified?”,

students plan and refine their thinking strategies and seek optimal solutions in problematic situations. In this way, metacognition stabilizes and deepens conceptual thinking and supports the development of independent intellectual activity.

The didactic mechanism is closely connected with scientifically grounded instructional design and content organization. It involves structuring educational content conceptually, strengthening interdisciplinary integration, creating problem situations, promoting project-based learning, and teaching through case-based tasks. Within this mechanism, educators do not simply transmit information, but organize learning through methodological tools that facilitate the formation of conceptual systems in students' minds. In particular, modeling complex concepts and applying them to real processes enhances didactic effectiveness.

In addition, conceptual thinking—while partly an individual process—develops substantially through collaborative intellectual activity. The communicative mechanism enriches students' conceptual representations through discussion, debate-based tasks, argumentation, and group reflection. In exchanging ideas, students analyze others' positions, compare evidence, and justify their own views, thereby expanding their conceptual networks and supporting deep and systematic learning.

The effectiveness of conceptual thinking development also depends on the methodological approaches implemented in teaching. For this reason, higher education practice should systematically apply contemporary methodological solutions.

Concept mapping supports the graphical modeling of knowledge, allowing students to visualize relationships among concepts and organize them consistently. Through concept maps, students differentiate primary and secondary concepts, establish hierarchies, and build logical sequences. As concept maps reveal the structural organization of knowledge, they contribute to deeper comprehension and long-term retention.

Problem-based learning is one of the most effective approaches for activating conceptual thinking, as it motivates students to move away from ready-made answers and search for conceptually grounded solutions. In such learning contexts, students analyze problematic situations, reconsider prior knowledge, evaluate alternative perspectives, and arrive at evidence-based conclusions. As a result, analytical reasoning, conceptual analysis, and independent inference develop progressively.

Case study methodology increases students' capacity to connect theoretical concepts with practical contexts through realistic scenarios. Working with cases, students identify causes, determine influencing factors, and justify potential solutions from a conceptual perspective. Analytical tasks also strengthen thinking culture through the "situation–concept–conclusion" logic.

Reflective approaches enhance students' ability to analyze their learning activity, understand the meaning of acquired knowledge, and integrate it into personal experience. Through "reflection diaries" students record conceptual insights, identify key connections, and detect knowledge gaps. As a result, the internal mechanisms of conceptual thinking are reinforced and students' self-regulation competence increases.

Interdisciplinary integration possesses strong didactic potential for developing conceptual thinking. When concepts taught in one discipline are connected with other fields, students understand them more deeply and situate them within broader contexts. In particular, the STEAM approach (Science, Technology, Engineering, Art, Mathematics) enables students to examine problems from multiple perspectives, test theoretical knowledge through practical projects, and enhance knowledge transfer skills, thereby ensuring the unity of theory and practice.

Overall, the analysis indicates that the effectiveness of methodological approaches to conceptual thinking development depends on several key factors: conceptual structuring of educational content, systematic use of problem-based and analytical tasks, regular reflective activity, and a pedagogical environment that supports independent thinking (open communication, collaboration, individualized approaches, and motivational support). Importantly, improving conceptual thinking development mechanisms also necessitates revising assessment systems. Traditional assessment often prioritizes recalling facts, whereas conceptual thinking requires connecting ideas, modeling relationships, providing justified conclusions, and analyzing problems conceptually. Hence, assessment criteria should be aligned with conceptual outcomes, focusing on students' ability to apply knowledge, explain concepts, and make conceptually grounded decisions.

### CONCLUSION

In conclusion, developing students' conceptual thinking in

higher education constitutes one of the key indicators of educational quality, intellectual capacity, and professional competence formation. Conceptual thinking enables students to acquire knowledge systematically, understand relationships among concepts, derive evidence-based conclusions, and apply knowledge flexibly in new contexts.

To improve this process, higher education systems should prioritize several methodological directions: designing educational content on a conceptual basis; systematically implementing concept mapping, problem-based learning, case study approaches, and reflective methods; strengthening interdisciplinary integration; and effectively using the didactic potential of STEAM-oriented learning. Moreover, aligning assessment systems with conceptual thinking outcomes—by evaluating students' capacity to model concepts, provide justified interpretations, analyze, and transfer knowledge—represents an essential methodological requirement.

In general, these methodological solutions contribute to enhancing students' thinking culture, developing independent knowledge construction skills, and increasing the overall effectiveness of the higher education teaching and learning process.

### REFERENCES

1. Deterding S., Dixon D., Khaled R., Nacke L. Gamification: Toward a definition // Proceedings of the CHI 2011 Workshop on Gamification. – Vancouver, Canada, 2011.
2. Kapp K.M. The Gamification of Learning and Instruction: Game-Based Methods and Strategies for Training and Education. – San Francisco: Pfeiffer, 2012.
3. Ryan R.M., Deci E.L. Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being // American Psychologist. – 2000. – Vol. 55(1). – P. 68–78.
4. Deci E.L., Ryan R.M. Intrinsic Motivation and Self-Determination in Human Behavior. – New York: Plenum Press, 1985.
5. Dahlstrøm E. Motivation and gamification in education: Reward systems and learner engagement (motivatsiyaning tashqi omillarga bog'lanish xavfi) // Pedagogik-psixologik tadqiqotlar to'plami. – 2013.
6. Vygotskiy L.S. Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes. – Cambridge, MA:

Harvard University Press, 1978.

7. Vygotskiy L.S. O'yin va bola psixik rivojlanishi (maktabgacha yosh davrida o'yinning rivojlantiruvchi roli). – Moskva: Pedagogika, 1966.
8. Lorenzo-Lledó A., et al. Gamification in early childhood and primary education: Effects on motivation and socio-emotional development // Education Sciences. – 2021. – Vol. 11(9).
9. Villalustre-Martínez L. Gamification and competency assessment in initial teacher training: Narrative-based learning and evaluation integration // Journal of Educational Technology & Society. – 2017. – Vol. 20(4). – P. 1–12.
10. UNESCO. Education in a Digital World: Digital transformation and quality of education. – Paris: UNESCO Publishing, 2020.