



Development of Sanogenic Thinking in Future Teachers

Abdullayeva Muyassar Isakovna

Senior Lecturer at the Department of Pedagogy and Psychology at TMC Institute, Uzbekistan

OPEN ACCESS

SUBMITTED 29 January 2025
ACCEPTED 28 February 2025
PUBLISHED 31 March 2025
VOLUME Vol.05 Issue03 2025

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the creative commons attributes 4.0 License.

Abstract: Sanogenic thinking is a psychological process aimed at preserving and improving mental health through the conscious re-interpretation of stressful or challenging situations. Within the context of teacher education, developing sanogenic thinking can equip future teachers with effective strategies for stress management, emotional regulation, and interpersonal communication. This article examines the theoretical underpinnings of sanogenic thinking, outlines empirical methods of fostering it in teacher-training programs, analyzes relevant findings, and discusses the broader implications for educational practice. Results reveal that activities designed to enhance self-awareness, empathy, and reflective practice contribute significantly to the growth of sanogenic thinking. The study highlights that structured interventions focused on cognitive reframing and emotional self-regulation can play a vital role in strengthening the professional resilience of future teachers. These findings emphasize the potential for sanogenic thinking to promote not only personal well-being but also a more supportive and sustainable environment for both educators and students.

Keywords: Sanogenic thinking, teacher education, professional resilience, cognitive reframing, emotional regulation, mental health.

Introduction: Sanogenic thinking is a concept derived from psychological theories exploring stress prevention and mental health preservation. The term is rooted in the idea that individuals can develop mental strategies—often conscious, cognitive processes—to maintain equilibrium in the face of adversity. Future teachers encounter multiple stressors during training and subsequent professional careers, including academic demands, classroom management, complex student-teacher relationships, and institutional pressures. The capacity to respond to these challenges

constructively has a direct bearing on both their personal mental health and professional performance.

Teacher education programs regularly focus on pedagogical content knowledge, methodology, and student engagement techniques. However, they may offer insufficient attention to the mental and emotional preparedness of prospective educators. Incorporating modules that nurture sanogenic thinking can become a pivotal strategy to help future teachers thrive in demanding classroom environments. Such instruction can enable them to identify, reframe, and manage stressful situations more effectively, leading to a healthier emotional climate for both the teacher and students. Thus, the overarching objective of this study is to explore the development of sanogenic thinking in future teachers and examine strategies to integrate this approach into existing teacher-training frameworks.

Research Problem and Purpose

This study aims to address the gap in teacher education where insufficient emphasis on mental health strategies leaves future teachers vulnerable to stress and emotional burnout. By exploring the theoretical foundations, practical interventions, and empirical outcomes of sanogenic thinking, the study seeks to contribute guidelines for improving teacher education curricula. The research further identifies psychological components and techniques beneficial for systematically cultivating sanogenic thinking during the training of prospective teachers.

Significance of the Study

The significance of this work lies in its potential to enhance the professional resilience of future educators. In the rapidly evolving educational landscape, teachers often operate under high demands for both cognitive and emotional labor. Inculcating sanogenic thinking offers a proactive approach to navigate such stressors. Consequently, it has long-term implications for reducing teacher attrition, improving classroom climate, and fostering a generation of educators who model emotional intelligence and adaptability.

METHODS

Study Design. The research employed a mixed-methods design, combining both quantitative and qualitative approaches. The quantitative component assessed changes in stress perception and emotional regulation among a cohort of teaching students participating in specifically designed workshops. The qualitative component involved thematic analyses of reflective journals kept by these students, providing insights into their subjective experiences and the

evolution of their mental frameworks.

Participants

The study focused on a cohort of 85 student teachers enrolled in a teacher education program at a university. This sample ranged in age from 19 to 25 years, with a near-equal distribution of male and female participants. Participation was voluntary and based on informed consent. The students who opted to join the intervention had diverse academic backgrounds, though all shared a commitment to pursuing a teaching career.

Intervention

The intervention spanned 10 weeks and consisted of a series of workshops and guided self-reflection sessions. Each workshop was held once per week, lasting two hours. The content addressed core dimensions of sanogenic thinking, including:

- Cognitive reframing exercises to reinterpret negative experiences or feedback.
- Mindfulness-based practices and self-awareness activities to heighten emotional regulation.
- Role-play and case-study analyses to foster empathy and interpersonal skills.
- Psychoeducation on stress physiology, highlighting how cognitive processes can modulate stress responses.

Students maintained weekly reflective journals documenting their perceptions of classroom experiences, personal challenges, and the effectiveness of newly learned coping strategies. These journals served not only as a valuable self-reflection tool but also as a data source for the qualitative analysis.

Data Collection Tools

The quantitative data were gathered using a standardized stress questionnaire and an emotional regulation scale. These instruments measure participants' self-reported stress perception levels and their facility with strategies for managing emotional distress. Pre- and post-intervention assessments were conducted to determine the statistical significance of any observed changes. Additionally, participants were asked to complete a self-evaluation form that measured the frequency with which they consciously applied sanogenic thinking techniques in academic and personal situations.

For the qualitative component, the thematic analysis of reflective journals was anchored in established procedures: researchers coded the content for key themes related to cognitive reframing, emotional regulation, empathy, and personal growth. This process provided enriched context for interpreting the quantitative data.

Data Analysis

The quantitative data were analyzed using statistical software, with t-tests applied to assess differences in pre- and post-intervention scores on stress perception and emotional regulation. Significance levels were set at $p < 0.05$. The qualitative data were coded in multiple rounds by two independent researchers who deliberated to achieve consensus on emerging themes. This method ensured reliability and reduced potential bias in data interpretation.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical guidelines for research with human participants were strictly followed. Participants were informed of their right to withdraw at any stage without adverse consequences. The anonymity of participants was preserved, and all data were used exclusively for research purposes.

The quantitative results indicated a statistically significant decrease in self-reported stress perception following the 10-week intervention. Participants' average stress scores dropped from a mean of 7.2 (on a 10-point scale) to 4.8, signifying a substantial improvement in their ability to cope with academic and practice-related demands. Emotional regulation scores also showed a marked increase, suggesting that structured workshops in cognitive reframing and mindfulness translated into more consistent self-regulation strategies.

A noteworthy finding was the substantial correlation between frequency of applying sanogenic thinking techniques and lower reported stress levels ($r = -0.65$, $p < 0.01$). This correlation supports the hypothesis that the repeated, deliberate use of positive cognitive strategies reinforces overall psychological resilience.

Qualitative analysis of the reflective journals presented consistent themes: participants frequently mentioned improved self-awareness, a shift in interpreting feedback or setbacks, and an enhanced readiness to engage in constructive dialogue with peers and faculty. Students also noted that the workshops offered a sense of community and social support, which augmented the individual cognitive practices.

Additionally, many student teachers reported better classroom engagement in their practicum experiences, citing that the reduction in personal stress allowed them to focus more on students' needs and to approach discipline and classroom management with greater empathy.

The findings demonstrate that targeted interventions can effectively develop sanogenic thinking among future teachers. The adoption of cognitive reframing and mindfulness-based approaches played a

significant role in lowering stress levels and promoting emotional regulation. These outcomes align with existing literature suggesting that mental health and well-being are critical for effective teaching, as teachers who manage stress more effectively are better positioned to create positive classroom environments and foster strong student relationships.

It is also noteworthy that the participants' sustained engagement with reflective practices proved integral to the intervention's success. Reflective journaling appears to encourage deeper self-awareness by allowing participants to examine their emotions, beliefs, and behaviors in a structured manner. This technique complements cognitive interventions, providing a comprehensive approach to mental health development.

Another crucial aspect that emerged was the sense of community built through collaborative learning and shared experiences during the workshops. Social support can have a buffering effect on stress, making peer involvement an essential component of sanogenic thinking interventions. Through exchanging perspectives and coping strategies, participants often found new ways to interpret challenges, reinforcing their resilience and problem-solving capacities.

Future teacher training programs can integrate such findings into their curricula, embedding structured modules that focus on psychological readiness and sanogenic approaches. Although this study concentrated on a relatively small, single-institution cohort, its outcomes suggest that further large-scale research could solidify the evidence base for adopting sanogenic thinking techniques within teacher education globally.

CONCLUSION

This study confirms the potential of purposeful, structured activities to enhance sanogenic thinking in future teachers. Through combined methods of cognitive reframing, mindfulness, and reflective practice, student teachers reported meaningful reductions in stress and notable improvements in emotional regulation and interpersonal engagement. The research underscores the importance of proactive strategies in teacher education that address mental health and resilience, ultimately benefiting the broader educational community.

By systematically incorporating modules devoted to sanogenic thinking within teacher-training curricula, educational institutions stand to cultivate educators who are well-equipped to navigate the complexities of modern classrooms. This fosters a more supportive and healthy environment for both teachers and students, potentially influencing outcomes such as academic

achievement, student motivation, and overall job satisfaction for teaching professionals.

REFERENCES

Ivanov I.V. Formirovanie pozitivnogo myshleniya: monografiya. – Moskva: Znanie, 2019. – 256 s.

Petrova S.A., Sokolova E.V. Psikhologicheskoe zdorovye uchitelya: teoriya i praktika. – Sankt-Peterburg: Izdatelstvo SPSU, 2020. – 312 s.

Anisimov A.A. Stress i sposoby ego preodoleniya. – Kazan: Universitet Kazani, 2018. – 210 s.

Smirnova O.V. Pedagogicheskaya psikhologiya: ucheb. posobie. – Moskva: Prospekt, 2021. – 428 s.

Selye G. Stress bez distressa. – Moskva: Progress, 1974. – 300 s.

Trubitsyn A.S., Komarova L.G. Innovatsionnye psikhologicheskie praktiki v obrazovatelnoy srede. – Ekaterinburg: UralUniversity Press, 2017. – 198 s.

Novikova E.P. Razvitie stressoustoychivosti budущikh pedagogov: avtoref. dis. ... kand. ped. nauk. – Moskva: MGGU, 2018. – 24 s.

Vygotsky L.S. Psikhologiya razvitiya cheloveka. – Moskva: Eksmo, 2005. – 512 s.