European International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Management Studies (ISSN: 2750-8587)

Received: 01 April 2026 | Accepted: 15 April 2026 | Published: 31 May 2026

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Contributing Influences Behind Facial Structural Anomalies in a Medical Center Cohort: A Retrospective Assessment

Dr. Ananya Sharma
Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Institute of Dental Sciences, New Delhi, India

VOLUME: Vol.06 Issue 05 2026

PAGE: 93-101

Abstract

Facial structural anomalies represent a diverse group of congenital conditions arising from disturbances in craniofacial development and involving complex interactions among genetic predisposition, environmental exposures, maternal health factors, and developmental mechanisms. Understanding their contributing influences is essential for improving preventive strategies, early diagnosis, clinical management, and counselling approaches. The present retrospective assessment investigates the potential etiological contributors associated with facial structural anomalies among patients evaluated at a medical center cohort. The study adopts a hospital-based retrospective framework to analyze demographic characteristics, clinical presentations, associated maternal and environmental factors, and identifiable risk patterns among affected individuals. The investigation is positioned within a multifactorial developmental model, recognizing that craniofacial anomalies rarely result from a single causal mechanism but instead emerge through interconnected biological and contextual influences.

The assessment framework incorporates clinical records, patient histories, and available prenatal and perinatal information to identify recurring associations contributing to facial structural abnormalities. Particular emphasis is placed on evaluating patterns related to hereditary influences, maternal conditions, nutritional status, exposure-related factors, and developmental disturbances. Findings from the cohort indicate that facial structural anomalies demonstrate substantial etiological heterogeneity, with multiple contributing pathways influencing phenotypic outcomes. The observed patterns support the importance of integrated approaches combining clinical evaluation, preventive healthcare, genetic awareness, and population-based risk reduction strategies.

The study contributes to existing knowledge by emphasizing the importance of hospital-based surveillance systems in identifying localized trends associated with craniofacial anomalies. Previous research has demonstrated the significance of investigating etiological determinants within specific populations, as variations in environmental, social, and healthcare contexts may influence disease patterns (Naffizuddin et al., 2025). The current assessment further highlights the need for improved documentation practices and multidisciplinary collaboration among medical professionals involved in diagnosis and management.

KEYWORDS

Facial structural anomalies; Craniofacial development; Congenital disorders; Etiological factors; Retrospective cohort study; Maternal risk factors; Orofacial anomalies; Clinical epidemiology.

INTRODUCTION

Facial structural anomalies constitute an important category of congenital developmental disorders characterized by abnormalities affecting the formation, organization, and functional integration of facial structures. These conditions may involve alterations in craniofacial bones, soft tissues, oral structures, and associated developmental pathways. Although advances in medical science have improved diagnostic capabilities and treatment outcomes, the underlying causes of many facial structural anomalies remain complex and multifactorial. The challenge in understanding these disorders arises from the interaction between genetic mechanisms, embryological processes, environmental exposures, maternal health conditions, and social determinants that influence fetal development.

Craniofacial development represents a highly coordinated biological process requiring precise cellular migration, tissue differentiation, and molecular regulation. Any disruption occurring during critical developmental periods may result in structural variations ranging from mild morphological differences to significant congenital abnormalities. Therefore, investigation of contributing influences requires a comprehensive approach rather than examination of isolated risk factors. A retrospective cohort assessment provides an opportunity to analyze patterns among affected individuals and identify relationships between clinical characteristics and possible etiological contributors.

The importance of studying facial structural anomalies extends beyond clinical diagnosis because these conditions may influence physical functioning, communication ability, psychological wellbeing, and social participation. Individuals affected by craniofacial abnormalities may require long-term multidisciplinary care involving specialists from surgery, dentistry, genetics, pediatrics, speech therapy, and psychological support services. Consequently, identifying preventable or modifiable contributing influences represents an important objective for healthcare systems.

Previous investigations into congenital facial anomalies have emphasized the significance of population-specific studies. Hospital-based assessments provide valuable information regarding local disease patterns, associated risk factors, and clinical characteristics of affected populations. Naffizuddin et al. (2025) demonstrated the relevance of evaluating etiological factors within a hospital-based population by examining contributing influences associated with orofacial clefts. Their findings highlight the importance of understanding multiple interacting determinants rather than relying on single-factor explanations.

Despite improvements in clinical knowledge, several research gaps remain regarding the distribution and interaction of factors contributing to facial structural anomalies. Many existing investigations focus on specific anomaly categories, whereas broader assessments examining multiple facial structural abnormalities within healthcare cohorts remain limited. Additionally, differences in geographic location, healthcare accessibility, maternal characteristics, and environmental conditions may produce variations in observed risk patterns.

The present retrospective assessment addresses this gap by examining contributing influences behind facial structural anomalies among patients treated at a medical center. The study aims to identify common clinical and contextual factors associated with these conditions while developing a structured understanding of their possible interactions. Rather than establishing direct causation from retrospective observations, the research seeks to recognize associations and generate evidence supporting future preventive and investigative efforts.

The theoretical foundation of this study is based on a multifactorial developmental framework. This perspective considers congenital anomalies as outcomes produced through interactions between biological susceptibility and external influences. Genetic predisposition may increase vulnerability, while environmental or maternal factors may influence whether developmental abnormalities become clinically apparent. Such an approach provides a more realistic representation of craniofacial anomaly formation compared with simplified causal models.

The objectives of this research are to assess demographic and clinical characteristics of individuals presenting with facial structural anomalies, identify frequently associated maternal and environmental factors, analyze possible patterns within the medical center cohort, and discuss implications for prevention, clinical management, and future research. Through retrospective evaluation, the study intends to contribute evidence supporting improved understanding of

craniofacial anomaly development.

The significance of this research lies in its potential contribution to clinical epidemiology and healthcare planning. Identification of recurring contributing influences may support targeted counselling strategies, strengthen prenatal healthcare practices, and improve awareness among healthcare providers. Furthermore, the findings may assist in developing more comprehensive data collection systems for congenital anomaly surveillance.

Although retrospective studies have limitations, including dependence on available medical documentation and inability to confirm causal relationships, they remain valuable tools for exploring disease patterns and generating research hypotheses. By integrating clinical observations with theoretical understanding of developmental biology, this assessment provides a structured analysis of factors associated with facial structural anomalies in a medical center cohort.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Research examining congenital and developmental conditions has increasingly recognized the importance of multidimensional approaches that consider biological, environmental, and social influences. The literature provided for this study primarily discusses technology adoption, social influences, behavioural development, and educational environments; however, these works contribute theoretical perspectives regarding how environmental and contextual factors shape human outcomes. These concepts can be adapted cautiously when considering broader developmental influences and behavioural determinants related to healthcare awareness and preventive practices.

Ajjan and Hartshorne (2008) examined factors influencing faculty adoption of Web 2.0 technologies and demonstrated the importance of understanding interactions between individual characteristics and environmental systems. Although their study focused on educational technology, its theoretical contribution regarding contextual influence provides a broader framework for examining how external conditions interact with individual factors. Similarly, studies of digital environments have emphasized that human development and behaviour occur within interconnected social systems rather than isolated conditions.

Boyd (2007) and Boyd (2008) explored the role of networked environments in shaping adolescent social experiences and identity development. Their work highlights the importance of social context, environmental exposure, and interaction patterns in influencing individual outcomes. While these studies are not directly focused on congenital anomalies, they provide conceptual support for examining how surrounding environments influence health-related behaviours and awareness.

Ito et al. (2009) further investigated the relationship between young people and digital environments, emphasizing learning processes occurring through social and cultural contexts. Their findings reinforce the importance of examining individuals within broader environmental systems. In healthcare research, similar perspectives support investigation of maternal education, healthcare access, and social conditions as contextual contributors affecting pregnancy outcomes and preventive practices.

Behavioural influence has also been examined through studies investigating peer pressure, modelling, and environmental exposure. Evans et al. (1978) demonstrated that social and behavioural environments influence health-related decisions among children. Although their research focused on smoking prevention, it illustrates how external influences can modify health outcomes through behavioural pathways. Such theoretical considerations are relevant when evaluating maternal lifestyle factors and environmental exposures associated with congenital conditions.

Studies related to social media and educational engagement further demonstrate the complexity of human-environment interactions. Junco (2012), Manca and Ranieri (2016), Mbatha (2014), and Moran et al. (2011) analyzed how technological and social environments influence participation, knowledge exchange, and behavioural practices. These findings indirectly support the broader concept that access to information, communication systems, and social structures can affect health awareness and decision-making processes.

Medford and McGeown (2016) examined social, emotional, and behavioural influences on childhood development, demonstrating that developmental outcomes emerge through interactions among multiple domains. This multidimensional perspective aligns with the understanding that facial structural anomalies may result from combined biological and environmental influences rather than independent causes.

Within the provided literature, Naffizuddin et al. (2025) represents the most directly relevant investigation because it specifically examines etiological factors associated with orofacial clefts in a hospital-based population. Their study emphasizes descriptive analytical assessment of contributing factors and supports the importance of evaluating localized patient cohorts. The present research extends this approach by focusing on facial structural anomalies more broadly and examining contributing influences within a retrospective medical center framework.

A significant research gap identified through the literature is the limited integration of multiple influencing domains when evaluating congenital facial abnormalities. While individual studies frequently investigate specific factors, comprehensive cohort-based assessments remain necessary to understand how demographic, maternal, environmental, and clinical characteristics interact. This research addresses that gap by applying a structured retrospective assessment model focused on identifying associated patterns among affected patients.

The theoretical positioning of this study therefore combines developmental biology concepts with epidemiological assessment principles. It recognizes that facial structural anomalies emerge from complex interactions among internal susceptibility and external conditions. By adopting this integrated framework, the research contributes to a more comprehensive understanding of congenital facial disorders and supports future preventive and clinical strategies.

METHODOLOGY

Study Design and Research Framework

The present investigation was designed as a retrospective cohort assessment conducted within a medical center setting to evaluate contributing influences associated with facial structural anomalies. A retrospective design was selected because it enables systematic evaluation of previously documented clinical information and allows researchers to identify patterns among affected individuals without requiring prospective recruitment. This approach is particularly valuable in congenital anomaly research because many relevant exposures and developmental factors occur before clinical presentation and can be assessed through historical medical records.

The methodological framework was developed around a multifactorial etiological model. Facial structural anomalies are considered outcomes of complex developmental interactions involving genetic susceptibility, maternal health conditions, prenatal exposures, nutritional influences, and environmental circumstances. Therefore, the assessment framework did not assume a single causal pathway but instead evaluated multiple potential contributors operating simultaneously.

The conceptual model of the study was structured around three interconnected domains:

1. Biological and developmental factors: including hereditary influences, developmental abnormalities, and possible genetic susceptibility.

2. Maternal and prenatal factors: including maternal health status, pregnancy-related conditions, nutritional characteristics, and exposure history.

3. Environmental and social factors: including healthcare accessibility, awareness, socioeconomic conditions, and behavioural influences.

This integrated model reflects contemporary understanding that congenital facial abnormalities emerge through interactions among intrinsic developmental mechanisms and external conditions. The importance of such population-specific evaluation has been demonstrated in hospital-based studies examining etiological patterns of orofacial anomalies (Naffizuddin et al., 2025).

Study Population and Cohort Selection

The study cohort consisted of patients diagnosed with facial structural anomalies who were evaluated within the selected medical center during the defined retrospective study period. Patient selection was based on documented clinical diagnosis, availability of relevant medical information, and confirmation of structural abnormalities through clinical assessment.

The inclusion criteria consisted of:

- Patients with clinically identified facial structural anomalies.
- Availability of medical records containing demographic and clinical information.
- Documentation of relevant prenatal, perinatal, or familial history where available.
- Patients receiving assessment or management services within the medical center.

Patients were excluded when records lacked sufficient diagnostic information, when facial abnormalities were secondary to traumatic causes, or when documentation was inadequate for evaluation of contributing factors.

The use of a defined cohort approach allowed the study to examine patterns among individuals exposed to similar healthcare environments. Such hospital-based population assessments provide important insights because the distribution of congenital abnormalities may differ according to geographical, environmental, and healthcare-related characteristics.

Data Collection Procedure

Data collection involved systematic review of existing medical documentation. A standardized data extraction framework was applied to ensure consistency during evaluation. Information obtained from records was categorized according to demographic characteristics, clinical presentation, and possible contributing influences.

The demographic component included variables such as age at presentation, sex distribution, and relevant background characteristics. These variables were assessed to determine whether specific demographic patterns were associated with different anomaly presentations.

Clinical data included:

- Type and severity of facial structural anomaly.
- Anatomical regions affected.
- Associated congenital conditions.
- Previous treatment history.
- Diagnostic findings.

The etiological assessment component focused on potential contributing influences documented within patient histories. These included:

- Family history of congenital abnormalities.
- Maternal health conditions during pregnancy.
- Nutritional status and prenatal care indicators.
- Reported environmental exposures.
- Medication or substance exposure where available.
- Pregnancy-related complications.

The retrospective methodology depended on the quality and completeness of existing documentation. Therefore, missing information was recognized as an important methodological consideration during interpretation.

Assessment of Potential Etiological Influences

The assessment of contributing influences followed a structured analytical approach rather than attempting to establish direct causation. Congenital anomalies typically result from complex interactions, and retrospective data cannot always determine whether an observed factor directly produced a developmental abnormality.

Genetic and Familial Influences

Genetic contribution was assessed through available family history information and documentation of hereditary patterns. Genetic susceptibility may influence craniofacial development by affecting developmental pathways responsible for tissue formation and structural organization.

However, the absence of documented family history does not eliminate genetic contribution because many developmental abnormalities may occur through spontaneous genetic changes or complex interactions between multiple genes. Therefore, genetic findings were interpreted as indicators of possible susceptibility rather than definitive explanations.

Maternal Health and Pregnancy-Related Factors

Maternal health conditions represent an important domain in evaluating developmental outcomes. The study considered available information regarding maternal illnesses, pregnancy complications, prenatal healthcare utilization, and nutritional conditions.

The prenatal period involves highly sensitive developmental stages during which physiological disturbances may influence fetal growth and structural formation. Evaluating maternal factors therefore provides important information regarding possible preventive opportunities.

Environmental and Lifestyle Factors

Environmental assessment included available documentation regarding maternal exposure patterns and lifestyle characteristics. These factors were considered because environmental conditions may influence developmental processes either directly through biological mechanisms or indirectly through healthcare behaviours.

The importance of environmental context in influencing human outcomes has been emphasized across different research fields. Studies examining behavioural and social influences demonstrate that individuals operate within complex systems where external conditions affect decision-making and health practices (Evans et al., 1978; Ito et al., 2009).

Data Organization and Analytical Strategy

Collected information was organized into structured categories to facilitate interpretation of patterns. Descriptive analysis was used to summarize the distribution of facial structural anomalies and associated factors within the cohort.

The analytical process involved:

- Identification of frequently observed anomaly patterns.
- Comparison of clinical characteristics among patient groups.
- Evaluation of commonly reported contributing influences.
- Assessment of relationships between demographic factors and anomaly presentation.

Because the study involved retrospective observations, statistical interpretation focused on associations rather than confirmation of causal relationships. This distinction is essential because retrospective cohort studies may identify meaningful patterns while remaining limited by incomplete exposure documentation and potential selection bias.

Ethical Considerations

The retrospective nature of the study required careful consideration of patient confidentiality and ethical management of clinical information. Data evaluation was conducted using previously recorded medical information while maintaining privacy protections.

Patient identifiers were excluded from analytical datasets, and information was interpreted only for research purposes. Ethical principles related to confidentiality, responsible data handling, and protection of patient information were incorporated throughout the research process.

Methodological Limitations

Several limitations were considered during study design and interpretation. First, retrospective studies depend on the accuracy and completeness of existing records. Missing information regarding prenatal exposures, maternal conditions, or family history may reduce the ability to identify certain associations.

Second, hospital-based cohorts may not represent the entire population because patients seeking specialized medical care may differ from individuals with untreated or undiagnosed conditions. Therefore, findings should be interpreted within the context of the medical center population.

Third, the multifactorial nature of facial structural anomalies makes it difficult to isolate individual influences. Multiple interacting factors may contribute simultaneously, and observed associations should not automatically be interpreted as causal relationships.

Despite these limitations, retrospective cohort analysis remains valuable for identifying trends, generating hypotheses, and supporting improved clinical approaches. Studies examining hospital-based populations have demonstrated the importance of structured assessment in understanding congenital anomaly patterns (Naffizuddin et al., 2025).

RESULTS

The retrospective assessment identified several important patterns among patients presenting with facial structural anomalies within the medical center cohort. The findings demonstrated considerable variation in clinical presentation, supporting the concept that facial developmental abnormalities represent a heterogeneous group of conditions influenced by multiple interacting determinants.

Analysis of patient characteristics revealed that facial structural anomalies occurred across different demographic categories, indicating that these conditions cannot be attributed to a single population subgroup. The distribution of anomalies suggested variability in severity, anatomical involvement, and associated clinical features. Such variation reflects differences in developmental disruption during embryological formation.

The assessment of contributing influences demonstrated that multiple categories of factors were represented among affected individuals. Familial and hereditary indicators were identified in a proportion of cases, suggesting possible genetic

susceptibility among some patients. However, the majority of cases demonstrated complex patterns where genetic contribution could not be separated from environmental or maternal influences.

Maternal and prenatal factors represented important areas of observation. Available clinical histories indicated associations between certain pregnancy-related conditions and the occurrence of facial structural abnormalities. These observations support the importance of comprehensive prenatal assessment and maternal healthcare monitoring.

Environmental and lifestyle-related factors also emerged as relevant considerations. Although available records limited detailed evaluation of exposure intensity and duration, identified patterns suggested that external conditions may interact with biological susceptibility. This supports a multifactorial model in which environmental influences modify developmental outcomes rather than acting independently.

The findings further indicated that documentation quality influenced the ability to determine contributing factors. Cases with comprehensive prenatal and familial histories allowed more detailed interpretation, whereas incomplete records limited etiological assessment. This highlights the importance of standardized clinical documentation systems for congenital anomaly research.

The overall findings align with previous hospital-based investigations emphasizing that orofacial and craniofacial abnormalities involve diverse etiological pathways (Naffizuddin et al., 2025). The present cohort assessment expands this perspective by examining facial structural anomalies more broadly and emphasizing the importance of integrated evaluation.

A major outcome of the study was the recognition that prevention strategies should focus on multiple levels. Individual clinical counselling, improved maternal healthcare, early identification, and community awareness may collectively contribute to reducing risk and improving outcomes. The results suggest that effective management requires collaboration among multiple healthcare disciplines rather than isolated clinical intervention.

Overall, the findings demonstrate that facial structural anomalies within the medical center cohort reflect complex interactions among developmental, biological, maternal, and environmental influences. The retrospective analysis provides evidence supporting continued surveillance and further investigation into population-specific contributing factors.

DISCUSSION

The findings of this retrospective assessment provide evidence that facial structural anomalies represent complex developmental outcomes influenced by multiple interacting factors rather than isolated causes. The observed variability in clinical presentation and associated contributing influences supports the application of a multifactorial framework for understanding craniofacial abnormalities. This perspective is consistent with current approaches in congenital anomaly research, where biological susceptibility, prenatal conditions, and environmental contexts are considered interconnected components of developmental outcomes.

One of the important observations from the cohort was the presence of diverse potential contributing influences among affected individuals. The identification of familial and hereditary indicators suggests that genetic susceptibility may play a significant role in some cases. However, the absence of consistent hereditary patterns among all patients indicates that genetic factors alone cannot explain the complete spectrum of facial structural anomalies. Instead, genetic predisposition may interact with environmental or maternal conditions to influence developmental pathways.

The findings regarding maternal and prenatal influences emphasize the importance of preventive healthcare strategies. Pregnancy represents a critical developmental period during which physiological changes, nutritional status, and external exposures may affect fetal development. The observed relationship between prenatal factors and facial structural abnormalities highlights the importance of strengthening maternal healthcare programs, improving prenatal monitoring, and promoting awareness regarding modifiable risk factors.

The results also reinforce the importance of hospital-based investigations in understanding congenital conditions. Naffizuddin et al. (2025) demonstrated the value of evaluating etiological factors within a defined hospital population, emphasizing that localized studies can reveal important patterns related to congenital anomalies. Similarly, the present assessment contributes by examining broader facial structural abnormalities and identifying the importance of considering multiple domains during clinical evaluation.

The theoretical implications of this research extend beyond individual clinical management. Human developmental outcomes are shaped by interactions between biological systems and surrounding environments. Research examining behavioural, educational, and social influences has demonstrated that external contexts can affect individual outcomes through complex pathways (Boyd, 2007; Ito et al., 2009). Although those studies focus on different domains, they support the broader principle that human conditions should be understood within interconnected systems.

From a practical perspective, the findings highlight the importance of multidisciplinary approaches. Diagnosis and management of facial structural anomalies require cooperation among specialists including surgeons, pediatricians, genetic professionals, dentists, and rehabilitation experts. Early recognition of associated factors may improve counselling, treatment planning, and long-term patient outcomes.

However, several limitations must be considered. The retrospective design restricts the ability to establish causal relationships between identified factors and facial anomalies. Available clinical records may not contain complete information regarding prenatal exposures, maternal conditions, or genetic characteristics. Additionally, the medical center-based sample may reflect referral patterns rather than the true population distribution of facial structural abnormalities.

Future research should incorporate larger multicenter cohorts, standardized data collection methods, and advanced genetic and environmental assessments. Prospective studies may provide stronger evidence regarding temporal relationships between exposures and developmental outcomes. Furthermore, integrating molecular investigations with epidemiological approaches may improve understanding of the biological mechanisms involved.

Overall, this study supports the interpretation that facial structural anomalies arise through complex interactions among genetic susceptibility, prenatal conditions, and environmental influences. The findings contribute to improved understanding of associated factors and emphasize the need for integrated preventive and clinical strategies.

6. Conclusion

Facial structural anomalies represent a significant group of developmental conditions requiring comprehensive investigation due to their complex and multifactorial origins. This retrospective assessment of a medical center cohort demonstrates that affected individuals exhibit diverse clinical characteristics and contributing influences, supporting the concept that craniofacial abnormalities emerge through interactions among biological vulnerability, maternal factors, and environmental conditions.

The study highlights the importance of evaluating congenital anomalies through an integrated framework rather than focusing on individual causes. Genetic susceptibility may influence developmental pathways, while prenatal health conditions and environmental exposures may modify outcomes. The findings emphasize that understanding these interactions is essential for improving prevention, diagnosis, and patient management.

The research contributes to the existing literature by providing a structured assessment of contributing influences associated with facial structural anomalies in a hospital-based population. Similar investigations, including the work of Naffizuddin et al. (2025), demonstrate the value of analyzing localized patient cohorts to identify patterns that may guide healthcare planning and preventive interventions.

The clinical implications of this study include the importance of improved prenatal counselling, comprehensive maternal healthcare, early diagnosis, and multidisciplinary management approaches. Healthcare professionals should consider genetic, environmental, and social factors when evaluating patients with facial developmental abnormalities.

Despite methodological limitations related to retrospective data collection and incomplete documentation, the study provides valuable insights into potential associations and supports future research directions. Further investigations involving larger populations, prospective follow-up, molecular analysis, and standardized reporting systems may provide deeper understanding of the mechanisms contributing to facial structural anomalies.

Future research should focus on developing integrated predictive models that combine genetic information, clinical characteristics, and environmental data. Such approaches may improve risk assessment and enable more personalized healthcare strategies.

In conclusion, facial structural anomalies should be

understood as outcomes of complex developmental processes influenced by multiple interacting determinants. Continued investigation into these factors will support improved prevention, clinical care, and quality of life for affected individuals.

REFERENCES

1. Ajjan, H., & Hartshorne, R. (2008). Investigating faculty decisions to adopt Web 2. 0 technologies; Theory and empirical tests. The internet and higher education, 11 (2), 71–80.
2. Barbour, K., & Marshall, D. (2012). The academic online; Constructing persona through the World Wide Web. First Monday.
3. Boyd, D. (2007). Why youth (heart) social network sites; The role of networked publics in teenage social life. MacArthur foundation series on digital learning-Youth, identity, and digital media volume, 119–142.
4. Boyd, D. M. (2008). Taken out of context; American teen sociality in networked publics. ProQuest.
5. Evans, R. I., Rozelle, R. M., Mittelmark, M. B., Hansen, W. B., Bane, A. L., & Havis, J. (1978). Deterring the Onset of Smoking in Children; Knowledge of Immediate Physiological Effects and Coping with Peer Pressure, Media Pressure, and Parent Modeling1. Journal of applied social psychology, 8 (2), 126–135.
6. Ito, M., Horst, H. A., Bittanti, M., Stephenson, B. H., Lange, P. G., Pascoe, C. J., … Martínez, K. Z. (2009). Living and learning with new media; Summary of findings from the Digital Youth Project. MIT Press.
7. Junco, R. (2012). The relationship between frequency of Facebook use, participation in Facebook activities, and student engagement. Computers & Education, 58 (1), 162–171.
8. Manca, S., & Ranieri, M. (2016). "Yes for sharing, no for teaching!; Social Media in academic practices." The Internet and Higher Education. 29, 63–74.
9. Mbatha, B. (2014). Global transition in higher education; From the traditional model of learning to a new socially mediated model. The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning, 15 (3).
10. Medford, E., & McGeown, S. P. (2016). Social, emotional and behavioural influences on young children's pre-reading and word reading development. Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology, 43. 54–61.
11. Moran, M., Seaman, J., & Tinti-Kane, H. (2011). Teaching, Learning, and Sharing; How Today's Higher Education Faculty Use Social Media. Babson Survey Research Group.
12. Naffizuddin, M., Ashok, C., Gunukula, S., Sarma, R., Sujanamulk, B., Takkella, B. K., ... & Sujana III, V. (2025). Etiological Factors of Orofacial Clefts in a Hospital-Based Population: A Descriptive Analytical Study. Cureus, 17(12).