Next Scientists
European International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Management Studies

TYPE Original Research
PAGE NO. 65-71

Integrating Machine Learning, Optimization, and Risk-Aware Design for Resilient Low-Carbon Cold Chain Logistics

John H. Wallace

University of Manchester, United Kingdom

OPEN ACCESS

SUBMITTED 14 October 2025
ACCEPTED 25 October 2025
PUBLISHED 31 October 2025
VOLUME Vol.05 Issue 10 2025

Abstract: Background: Cold chain logistics constitute a critical backbone for food, pharmaceutical, and perishable goods distribution. Rapid technological change, heightened regulatory scrutiny, and sustainability pressures have created a need for integrative scientific frameworks that blend machine learning, optimization algorithms, risk assessment, and system design to guarantee quality, compliance, and environmental performance in cold chains (Emergentcold, 2023; Maersk, 2023; Chowdhury, 2025). **Methods:** This research synthesizes thematic findings across empirical and methodological studies in cold chain routing and inventory optimization, machine learning–based quality prediction, distribution channel selection, and risk-assessment methodologies. Using a structured integrative review approach grounded in decision-science and systems engineering principles, the paper constructs a unified conceptual framework that maps data-driven prediction, optimization modules, and FMEA-QFD risk controls into a coherent operational cycle (Andrejic et al., 2023; Pajic et al., 2023; Theeb et al., 2020).

Results: The framework articulates how multi-objective metaheuristics (ant-colony, hybrid genetic algorithms), inventory-allocation formulations, and FUCOM-ADAM weighting for channel selection can be combined with supervised and transfer-learning models for freshness and temperature prediction to drive routing and storage decisions that minimize quality loss and emissions simultaneously (Zhao et al., 2020; Maroof et al., 2024; Qiao et al., 2022; Kim et al., 2022). The framework also shows how real-time sensing and predictive models enable compliance and proactive corrective control in pharmaceutical supply chains (Chowdhury, 2025). **Conclusions:** Implementing the proposed integrative approach yields a pathway to resilient, low-carbon cold chains that balance quality assurance, regulatory compliance, and environmental objectives. The paper discusses theoretical implications, operational trade-offs, limits of current evidence, and avenues for empirical validation and policy interventions. The contribution is a rigorous, citation-grounded blueprint for researchers, logistics managers, and policymakers seeking to harmonize machine learning, optimization, and risk management in contemporary cold chain systems (Emergentcold, 2023; Tessol, 2023; Maersk, 2023).

Keywords: Cold chain logistics; machine learning; vehicle routing; risk assessment; FUCOM-ADAM; FMEA-QFD; low-carbon optimization

1. INTRODUCTION: Cold chain logistics—defined as the set of activities, infrastructure, and information flows designed to maintain specified temperature conditions for perishable or temperature-sensitive products along production-to-consumption pathways—has become both strategically vital and technologically dynamic in the early 2020s (Akdemir, 2008; Kuo, 2010). Food systems, vaccines, biologics, and temperature-sensitive chemical supplies all depend on the integrity of cold chains for safety, efficacy, and marketability. The simultaneous pressures of climate policy, stringent regulatory regimes on pharmaceuticals and foods, and rising customer expectations for freshness and traceability have exposed limitations in conventional planning and control paradigms. Traditional siloed designs that separate routing, inventory decisions, and quality assurance are increasingly inadequate when real-time temperature deviations can lead to product spoilage, regulatory noncompliance, reputational loss, and public health hazards (Saravanan & Anubama, 2017; Mona Jaberisdoost, 2013).

Recent technical work has advanced three partially overlapping solution streams. The first stream comprises operations research and metaheuristic developments addressing vehicle routing, inventory allocation, and low-carbon objectives for cold supply chains (Theeb et al., 2020; Leng et al., 2024; Zhao et al., 2020; Maroof et al., 2024; Xu et al., 2023). The second stream focuses on data-driven prediction and sensing-driven decision support: machine learning models for freshness and temperature prediction—ranging from BP neural networks to transfer learning and other supervised approaches—allow practitioners to infer quality trajectories and anticipate breach events before they occur (Qiao et al., 2022; Kim et al., 2022; Huang et al., 2023; Loisel et al., 2022). The third stream concerns distribution-channel selection and integrated risk assessment, employing multicriteria decision methods and quality-function-deployment-informed FMEA approaches to embed resilience in channel design (Andrejic et al., 2023; Pajic et al., 2023).

Each stream contributes crucial capabilities but has not yet coalesced into a widely accepted, operationally tractable framework that explicitly couples predictive models with routing optimization and systematic risk controls. Empirical practice lags theoretical progress; industry reports and trend analyses highlight adoption of sensing and digitalization but also underscore fragmentation and inconsistent application of analytics across actors in the cold chain (Emergentcold, 2023; Tessol, 2023; Maersk, 2023). More critically, there is limited integration of low-carbon objectives—explicitly incorporating emissions trade-offs into routing and storage decisions informed by machine learning predictions of product degradation. Without this integration, stakeholders risk pursuing narrow performance metrics (e.g., minimal transport time) that exacerbate emissions or undermine long-term resilience.

This research addresses these gaps by synthesizing cross-disciplinary literature and articulating a unified framework for resilient, low-carbon cold chain design. The framework is a normative and analytic blueprint that prescribes how data inputs, predictive analytics, optimization submodules, and structured risk assessments should interact. It is rooted in decision-science traditions and informed by modern methodological advances: FUCOM-ADAM for distribution channel weighting and selection, FMEA-QFD for risk-to-design translation, metaheuristics for multi-objective routing and inventory allocation, and machine learning models for temperature and freshness prediction (Andrejic et al., 2023; Pajic et al., 2023; Theeb et al., 2020; Maroof et al., 2024; Qiao et al., 2022).

The contribution is threefold. First, it formally maps existing methodological contributions into a modular architecture that is actionable for researchers and practitioners. Second, it elaborates theoretical mechanisms—why and how predictive intelligence reshapes optimization landscapes in cold chains, including the consequences for emissions and quality trade-offs. Third, it clarifies researchable propositions and empirical challenges necessary to transition from conceptual designs to field-validated systems. Throughout, claims are supported by extant studies and industry analyses to ensure that the synthesis is evidence-grounded (Emergentcold, 2023; Maersk, 2023; Loisel et al., 2022).

2. METHODOLOGY

This paper employs an integrative, theory-building methodology that synthesizes diverse strands of cold chain research into a coherent conceptual and operational framework. The approach involves four steps: systematic thematic extraction, methodological mapping, integrative framework construction, and normative operational prescriptions.

Systematic thematic extraction entailed reading and categorizing the provided reference corpus into capability clusters: routing and inventory optimization; machine learning–based freshness/temperature prediction and sensing; distribution channel selection and risk assessment; and design/engineering of cold storage systems. Each reference was analyzed for method, key findings, assumptions, limitations, and potential for cross-fertilization. For example, routing and inventory papers that use ant-colony or genetic algorithms were parsed for objective functions, constraints, and coupling points with inventory models (Zhao et al., 2020; Maroof et al., 2024). Machine learning studies were reviewed for data sources, label definitions, model families, and feature engineering strategies in freshness and temperature prediction (Qiao et al., 2022; Kim et al., 2022; Huang et al., 2023; Loisel et al., 2022). Risk assessment and channel selection works were examined for decision criteria, weighting procedures, and mapping to design controls (Andrejic et al., 2023; Pajic et al., 2023).

Methodological mapping then aligned the capabilities into functional modules. Modules defined include: sensing and data ingestion; predictive analytics (forecasting temperature trajectories and quality metrics); decision-weighting and channel selection; optimization engines for routing and inventory allocation; risk translation and control design (FMEA-QFD); and monitoring-feedback loops that enable adaptive control. Each module's inputs, outputs, computational characteristics, and data requirements were specified in descriptive terms rather than formal equations to comply with constraints against mathematical expressions.

Integrative framework construction synthesized how modules interoperate. The design prioritized real-time and near-real-time information flows: sensing → predictive analytics → optimization → risk-aware design adjustments → operations → monitoring. Crucially, the framework embedded multi-objective optimization that explicitly balances quality preservation, cost, and emissions, and allowed for scenario-based contingency planning leveraging FMEA-QFD outputs. Practical implementation considerations—data quality, sensor reliability, communication latencies, model retraining cadence, and governance—were described in detail.

Normative operational prescriptions provided actionable guidance for practitioners: (1) initial data infrastructure requirements (sensor density, telemetry standards, historical labeling protocols); (2) model-development and evaluation regimes (train/test splits that mimic operational nonstationarity, synthetic augmentation approaches when necessary); (3) optimization setup (objective prioritization, constraint management, and heuristic selection); and (4) risk management cycles linking FMEA-derived failure modes to redesign or operational mitigations. Throughout, literature support was cited where applicable to substantiate recommendations (Loisel et al., 2022; Andrejic et al., 2023; Pajic et al., 2023; Maersk, 2023; Chowdhury, 2025).

This text-based methodology deliberately avoids mathematical formulation and empirical simulation in favor of a rigorous, reproducible conceptual synthesis. The objective is to produce a publication-ready narrative and analytic blueprint that future empirical studies can operationalize and test in specific industrial contexts.

3. RESULTS

The primary result is a detailed, modular framework for integrating machine learning, optimization, and risk-aware design to produce resilient, low-carbon cold chain logistics. The following sub-sections provide descriptive analyses of the framework's components, the practical interactions among them, and the expected operational impacts grounded in the literature.

Sensing and Data Ingestion: Modern cold chains increasingly rely on multi-source sensing—temperature loggers, pallet-level IoT devices, GPS telemetry, humidity sensors, and manual quality checks—to build a rich observational baseline for modeling (Loisel et al., 2022; Huang et al., 2023). The literature emphasizes that sensor placement and sampling resolution materially affect prediction accuracy. Studies comparing synthetic and experimental training datasets suggest that synthetic augmentation can improve model generalization when experimental data are scarce, but the fidelity of synthetic data remains a core concern (Loisel et al., 2022). Descriptively, the framework prescribes tiered sensing coverage: high-value, high-sensitivity loads require dense palleted telemetry while lower-value lots may rely on vehicle-level sensors, with clear tagging in metadata to guide model weighting.

Predictive Analytics for Temperature and Freshness: Machine learning approaches—ranging from BP neural networks to transfer learning and ensemble methods—have been successfully applied to predict product quality measures (Qiao et al., 2022; Kim et al., 2022;

Huang et al., 2023). These models serve two operational roles: (1) short-horizon breach forecasting to trigger corrective actions and (2) medium-horizon degradation prediction integrated into allocation and routing decisions. The literature documents domain-specific predictors—e.g., spectral, gas-sensing, or humidity features for produce freshness—and shows that transfer learning can accelerate model deployment where labeled data are limited (Kim et al., 2022). The framework highlights the need for proper label definitions (e.g., sensory quality thresholds, microbial limits) and calibration to regulatory standards for pharmaceutical products (Chowdhury, 2025).

Decision Weighting and Channel Selection: Distribution channel selection involves trade-offs across cost, lead time, risk exposure, and environmental footprints. FUCOM-ADAM provides a structured, ratio-scale weighting method that can synthesize expert judgments with empirical metrics for robust channel selection (Andrejic et al., 2023). Incorporating such multicriteria weighting enables firms to objectively compare third-party logistics providers, direct distribution options, or cold-storage partners. The framework positions FUCOM-ADAM outputs as priors or constraints in optimization engines so that channel-level attributes (e.g., refrigeration efficiency, handling reliability) become tangible inputs into routing and allocation decisions.

Optimization Engines and Routing: The body of work on vehicle routing and inventory allocation in cold supply chains reveals a rich methodologic toolbox: improved ant-colony algorithms for multi-objective path optimization, hybrid genetic algorithms for vehicle routing with time windows, and tailored heuristic methods for urban cold-chain flexibility (Zhao et al., 2020; Maroof et al., 2024; Leng et al., 2024). These methods excel when problem formulations explicitly capture temperature-dependent spoilage windows, time windows driven by customer constraints, and emissions penalties. The framework recommends a tiered optimization strategy: near-term local heuristics for operational responsiveness and longer-horizon metaheuristics for strategic planning and schedule generation. A critical insight from the literature is that embedding predicted degradation trajectories—rather than static shelf-life assumptions—reshapes optimal routes, sometimes favoring slightly longer routes that preserve refrigeration integrity or consolidate loads to reduce cumulative emissions (Zhang et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2023).

Risk Translation and FMEA-QFD: FMEA-QFD approaches link failure modes identified through analysis (e.g., temperature excursions during transshipment, door-open events, refrigeration unit failures) to design and process controls via quality function deployment (Pajic et al., 2023). This provides a systematic pathway to convert ranked risks into engineering, contractual, and operational mitigations. The framework embeds FMEA-QFD as a closed-loop control layer: when predictive models indicate heightened risk or when optimization results reveal fragility, FMEA outcomes suggest redesigns (e.g., redundant refrigeration, altered packaging, modified loading patterns) or organizational controls (e.g., SLAs with carriers, inspection protocols). This integration is critical for pharmaceutical cold chains where regulatory compliance—and the translation of risks into documented corrective actions—are essential (Chowdhury, 2025).

Operational Impacts and Trade-offs: Combining predictive models with optimization engines and FMEA-informed adjustments yields several operational consequences described in the literature. First, proactive routing decisions informed by predicted spoilage reduce waste and improve product quality outcomes but may increase transport cost or emissions if routes are less direct. Second, consolidating loads occasionally produces lower emissions per unit but may heighten exposure to shared-risk events; FMEA-QFD can guide buffering strategies to offset these concentrated risks (Zhang et al., 2019). Third, for pharmaceutical products, predictive monitoring aligned with compliance documentation can shorten hold times and enable just-in-time release where regulations allow, improving lifecycle efficiency (Chowdhury, 2025). The literature supports these trade-offs while emphasizing that local context—geography, infrastructure quality, and regulatory regimes—influences optimal policy choices (Emergentcold, 2023; Tessol, 2023).

Design and Engineering of Cold Storage Systems: Infrastructure design choices—warehouse multi-temperature segmentation, refrigeration selection, and dock scheduling—remain foundational to cold chain performance (Akdemir, 2008; Kuo, 2010). Empirical studies recommend multi-temperature joint distribution systems for improved flexibility and reduced total energy consumption when products with diverse temperature bands are co-managed (Kuo, 2010). The framework describes how optimization decisions at the transport level must be co-designed with storage architecture: high-frequency, low-volume deliveries into multi-temperature facilities enable reduced in-transit time and better load consolidation, while large centralized cold stores may favor longer-haul transport but enable economies of scale in energy efficiency.

Sustainability and Low-Carbon Considerations: Low-carbon objectives have been addressed in the literature through algorithmic innovations and greenhouse gas–aware routing strategies (Zhang et al., 2019). Practical measures include modal shifts to lower-emission transport, load consolidation, and refrigeration efficiency improvements. The framework argues for explicit carbon-accounting modules within optimization engines, enabling Pareto front generation that exposes trade-offs between emissions and quality metrics. Industry trend reports indicate momentum for green cold chain investments—electrified fleets and energy-efficient cold stores—but observe technology and capital barriers for many stakeholders (Emergentcold, 2023; Maersk, 2023).

Implementation Pathways and Governance: The framework outlines pragmatic steps for staged implementation: foundational data infrastructure, initial pilot models for high-value SKUs, iterative scale-up with FUCOM-ADAM guided channel selection, and embedding FMEA-QFD for compliance-critical products. Governance features include model-performance SLAs, data-sharing agreements across supply chain partners, and audit trails for regulatory verification. The literature supports phased pilots as a risk-minimizing approach for complex systems interventions (Maersk, 2023; Tessol, 2023).

4. DISCUSSION

This synthesis raises several theoretical and practical considerations that are central to the maturation of cold chain analytics. The discussion unpacks key mechanisms, counter-arguments, limitations, and research directions.

Mechanisms by Which Predictive Analytics Reshape Optimization Landscapes

Predictive models fundamentally alter the decision context by converting uncertain, stochastic quality trajectories into actionable probabilistic forecasts. Where traditional optimization treats shelf-life as static and deterministic, machine learning models provide time-dependent failure probabilities that optimization engines can internalize as dynamic constraints or expected-cost penalties (Qiao et al., 2022; Kim et al., 2022). This shift enables anticipatory decisions: rerouting to avoid predicted excursions, pre-emptive reconditioning of loads, or selective redirection of near-expiry goods to high-turnover nodes. A theoretical implication is that the feasible solution space becomes time-expanded and stochastic; optimization must therefore handle scenario branching and nonstationary risk metrics. Metaheuristics with scenario sampling or risk-aware objective terms (e.g., CVaR-like measures in descriptive terms) become essential to identify solutions that are robust across likely futures.

Balancing Quality, Cost, and Emissions: Trade-Off Dynamics

A core counter-argument suggests that optimization with enriched quality prediction may lead to local improvements in product integrity at the expense of higher system-wide emissions or costs. For instance, prioritizing direct refrigerated routes to preserve freshness could increase kilometres travelled or underutilize capacity. The literature documents cases where low-carbon routing and quality-preserving routing align (through consolidation or modal shifts) but also cases where trade-offs are inescapable (Zhang et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2023). The proposed framework therefore recommends multi-objective optimization that surfaces Pareto-efficient policies rather than enforcing single-objective optimization. Decision-makers must then apply preference weighting, potentially informed by FUCOM-ADAM-type weighting procedures, to select policies aligned with corporate sustainability commitments and regulatory contexts (Andrejic et al., 2023).

Robustness and Generalizability of Predictive Models

Machine learning models often require large, curated datasets that reflect operational heterogeneity. The literature reports promising case studies for produce and egg freshness using transfer learning and multi-source sensing but also emphasizes the danger of overfitting to specific logistic patterns or sensor characteristics (Qiao et al., 2022; Kim et al., 2022; Loisel et al., 2022). The framework advocates for model-development practices that prioritize external validation across geographies and seasons, active learning to incorporate new failure modes, and synthetic augmentation where real-world data are limited—while acknowledging the limits of synthetic data fidelity (Loisel et al., 2022). Governance mechanisms, such as cross-firm benchmarking and standardized labeling of environmental and operational metadata, can accelerate generalizable model development.

FMEA-QFD as Organizational Translation Mechanism

The integration of FMEA-QFD provides a bridge between quantitative risk prediction and concrete engineering or contractual mitigations (Pajic et al., 2023). However, FMEA processes can be time-consuming and subject to subjective bias in scoring variables like severity or detectability. The framework therefore proposes an evidence-based FMEA that leverages predictive model output (e.g., predicted failure probability bands) to inform severity and occurrence estimates, thus reducing subjective variance. Additionally, QFD mapping ensures that mitigations address the most critical customer-facing quality functions, such as regulatory traceability for pharmaceuticals.

Implementation Barriers and Organizational Change

Implementation is not purely technical. The literature and industry analyses highlight organizational barriers: fragmented ownership of cold chain components across producers, carriers, and third-party logistics providers; misaligned incentives; capital constraints for upgrading infrastructure; and data sharing frictions (Emergentcold, 2023; Maersk, 2023; Tessol, 2023). The framework discusses governance models—data trusts, contractual SLAs, and collaborative pilots—that can align incentives and enable shared investments in sensors or fleet electrification. It also addresses regulatory constraints, particularly for pharmaceuticals, where validated processes and auditable documentation are required—areas where integrating predictive models with compliance documentation can yield value if regulatory authorities accept predictive evidence as part of quality management systems (Chowdhury, 2025).

Limitations of the Synthesis and Evidence Gaps

The present research is a conceptual and integrative synthesis based on the provided literature rather than an empirical evaluation. While the cited studies individually demonstrate methodological advances and case-based evidence, comprehensive empirical trials that simultaneously integrate FUCOM-ADAM weighting, predictive models, heuristic optimization, and FMEA-QFD at scale remain limited. There is a need for longitudinal, multi-site pilots that measure product quality outcomes, emissions, cost, and compliance metrics. Furthermore, the heterogeneity of cold chain contexts—varying climatic conditions, infrastructural maturity, and regulatory regimes—limits universal prescriptions. The framework prioritizes modularity to facilitate local adaptation but empirical validation is essential.

Future Research Directions

Several promising avenues emerge:

1. Field experiments that jointly deploy pallet-level sensing, freshness-predictive models, and low-carbon route optimization to measure real-world trade-offs.

2. Methodological research on scalable stochastic optimization that can internalize machine-learning-derived probabilistic forecasts without prohibitive computational complexity.

3. Studies on synthetic data generation techniques that preserve distributional properties of temperature and quality trajectories for low-data contexts, validated against experimental testbeds (Loisel et al., 2022).

4. Policy research on regulatory frameworks that can accept predictive monitoring as part of quality assurance in pharmaceutical cold chains, subject to validation protocols (Chowdhury, 2025).

5. Socio-technical research on governance models—data trusts, cross-company consortia—to facilitate data sharing while protecting competitive interests (Emergentcold, 2023).

5. CONCLUSION

This paper presents a comprehensive, citation-grounded framework for integrating machine learning, optimization algorithms, and structured risk assessment into resilient, low-carbon cold chain logistics. The framework connects multi-source sensing, predictive analytics for temperature and freshness, FUCOM-ADAM-based channel weighting, multi-objective routing and inventory heuristics, and FMEA-QFD to create a closed-loop system that supports proactive, compliant, and sustainable operations. The literature indicates that each element possesses methodological and empirical support, yet full integration and widespread adoption remain nascent. The framework identifies implementation pathways—data infrastructure, pilot sequencing, and governance mechanisms—and flags critical research and validation gaps. For practitioners and policymakers, the contribution is a practical and theoretically informed blueprint to harmonize quality preservation, regulatory compliance, and environmental stewardship in cold chains. By pursuing coordinated pilots and standards for data and model validation, the logistics community can transform fragmented capabilities into robust, scalable systems that deliver both public-health protections and sustainability gains (Emergentcold, 2023; Maersk, 2023; Chowdhury, 2025).

REFERENCES

1. Emergentcold, “Cold chain: Trends 2024,” 2023. https://emergentcoldlatam.com/en/cold-chain-key-trends-in-2024-for-food-storage
2. Tessol, “Future trends in cold chain storage and distribution,” 2023. https://tessol.in/future-trends-in-cold-chain-storage-and-distribution
3. Maersk, “What to expect in 2024 - 7 upcoming cold

chain trends," 2023. https://www.maersk.com/insights/growth/2023/11/10/cold-chain-trends

4. Wazahat Ahmed Chowdhury. (2025). Machine Learning in Cold Chain Logistics: Ensuring Compliance and Quality in Pharmaceutical Supply Chains. International Journal of Medical Science and Public Health Research, 6(09), 40–45. https://doi.org/10.37547/ijmsphr/Volume06Issue09-05
5. M. Andrejic, V. Pajic, and M. Kilibarda, "Distribution channel selection using FUCOM-ADAM: A novel approach," Sustainability, vol. 15, p. 14527, 2023. https://doi.org/10.3390/su151914527
6. V. Pajic, M. Andrejić, and M. Sternad, "FMEA-QFD approach for effective risk assessment in distribution processes," J. Intell. Manag. Decis., vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 46–56, 2023. https://doi.org/10.56578/jimd020201
7. Theeb, A.; Smadi, J.; Al-Hawari, H.; Aljarrah, H. Optimization of vehicle routing with inventory allocation problems in Cold Supply Chain Logistics. Comput. Ind. Eng. 2020, 142, 106341.
8. Leng, L.; Wang, Z.; Zhao, Y.; Zuo, Q. Formulation and heuristic method for urban cold-chain logistics systems with path flexibility—The case of China. Expert Syst. Appl. 2024, 244, 122926.
9. Zhao, B.; Gui, H.; Li, H.; Xue, J. Cold Chain Logistics Path Optimization via Improved Multi-Objective Ant Colony Algorithm. IEEE Access 2020, 8, 142977–142995.
10. Maroof, A.; Ayvaz, B.; Naeem, K. Logistics Optimization Using Hybrid Genetic Algorithm (HGA): A Solution to the Vehicle Routing Problem With Time Windows (VRPTW). IEEE Access 2024, 12, 36974–36989.
11. Xu, B.; Sun, J.; Zhang, Z.; Gu, R. Research on Cold Chain Logistics Transportation Scheme under Complex Conditional Constraints. Sustainability 2023, 15, 8431.
12. Zhang, L.; Tseng, M.; Wang, C.; Xiao, C.; Fei, T. Low-carbon cold chain logistics using ribonucleic acid-ant colony optimization algorithm. J. Clean. Prod. 2019, 233, 169–180.
13. Qiao, J.; Guo, M.; Wu, Y.; Gao, J.; Yue, Z. Research on Strawberry Cold Chain Transportation Quality Perception Method Based on BP Neural Network. Appl. Sci. 2022, 12, 8872.
14. Kim, T.; Kim, J.; Kim, J.; Oh, S. Egg Freshness Prediction Model Using Real-Time Cold Chain Storage Condition Based on Transfer Learning. Foods 2022, 11, 3082. [PubMed]
15. Huang, W.; Wang, X.; Zhang, J.; Xia, J.; Zhang, X. Improvement of blueberry freshness prediction based on machine learning and multi-source sensing in the cold chain logistics. Food Control 2023, 145, 109496.
16. Loisel, J.; Cornuéjols, A.; Laguerre, O.; Tardet, M.; Cagnon, D.; Lamotte, O.; Duret, S. Machine learning for temperature prediction in food pallet along a cold chain: Comparison between synthetic and experimental training dataset. J. Food Eng. 2022, 335, 111156.
17. S. Akdemir, "Designing of cold stores and choosing of cooling system elements," Journal of Applied Science, pp. 788–794, 2008.
18. J. &. C. M. Kuo, "Developing an advanced multi-temperature joint distribution system for the food cold chain," Food Control, Vol. 21 No. 4, pp. 559–566, 2010.
19. U. J. Neergaard H., Handbook of Qualitative Research Methods in Entrepreneurship, Edward Elgar Publishing Limited.

A. A. Wagner B.A, "managing the distribution channel: the case of scot trout and salmon," Supply Chain Management: An International Journal, pp. 104–107, 2006.

20. S. N. a. a. a. R. d. Mona Jaberisdoost, "Pharamaceutical supply chain risks: a systematic review," DARU Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 2013.
21. N. Shah, "Pharmaceutical supply chains: key issues and strategies for optimisation," Computers and Chemical Engineering, pp. 929–941, 2004.
22. M. D, S. W and V. R. V.D, "Functional and system aspects of the sustainable product and service development approach for industry," Journal of Cleaner Production, pp. 399–416, 2006.
23. S. Saravanan and Anubama B., "A Study on Cold Chain Logistics Service Provider in Pharmaceutical Industry," International Journal of Universal Pharmacy and Bio Sciences, 6(2), March–April 2017, pp. 31–40.