Next Scientists
European International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Management Studies

TYPE Original Research
PAGE NO. 55-60

Building SME Resilience through Agile Data Architecture: Integrating Data Vault 2.0 and Business Intelligence for Competitive Advantage

Dr. A. Sharma
Department of Information Systems, Institute of Strategic Technology

OPEN ACCESS

SUBMITED 01 July 2025
ACCEPTED 15 July 2025
PUBLISHED 31 July 2025
VOLUME Vol.05 Issue 07 2025

Abstract: Purpose: In the wake of global disruptions such as the COVID-19 pandemic, Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (SMEs) face heightened pressure to digitize operations to maintain competitive advantage. This study explores the intersection of advanced data modeling—specifically Data Vault 2.0—and modern Business Intelligence (BI) tools to create a resilient infrastructure for decision-making.

Design/Methodology/Approach: The research utilizes a systematic integrative review, synthesizing literature on Crisis Management, Knowledge Management Systems (KMS), and the TAM-TOE (Technology Acceptance Model and Technology-Organization-Environment) adoption framework. It further analyzes the technical specifications of BI platforms (Tableau, Power BI) and data warehousing methodologies to propose a comprehensive adoption framework.

Findings: The analysis suggests that traditional data warehousing techniques (Star Schemas) often lack the agility required for modern SMEs. The implementation of Data Vault modeling, when coupled with governed BI strategies, significantly correlates with improved Intellectual Capital and operational flexibility. Furthermore, the integration of AI within these BI ecosystems is modulated by organizational readiness and environmental pressures.

Originality/Value: This paper bridges the gap between technical data engineering concepts (ETL, Data Modeling) and strategic management theories (Intellectual Capital, Crisis Resilience), offering a practical roadmap for SMEs to leverage data as a strategic asset rather than a byproduct of operations.

Keywords: - Business Intelligence, Data Vault 2.0, SME Resilience, TAM-TOE Model, Knowledge Management, Data Governance, Competitive Advantage.

1. INTRODUCTION

The global economic landscape of the early 21st century has been defined by volatility, uncertainty, complexity, and ambiguity (VUCA). Within this turbulent environment, Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (SMEs) serve as the critical engine of economic stability and innovation. However, as demonstrated during the COVID-19 pandemic, SMEs are disproportionately vulnerable to external shocks due to limited liquidity and resource constraints. Hossain et al. [1] highlight that during such crises, the ability to pivot strategies based on real-time information becomes not merely an advantage but a survival necessity. This necessity has accelerated the digital transformation agenda, moving Business Intelligence (BI) and data analytics from the periphery of IT operations to the center of strategic planning.

Despite the clear imperative for data-driven decision-making, many SMEs remain hamstrung by legacy infrastructure. The rapid accumulation of data—often termed the "data deluge"—has outpaced the organizational capacity to process it. Traditional Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems, while effective for transactional consistency, often create data silos that obscure a holistic view of the enterprise [9]. Furthermore, the complexity of integrating external market data with internal operational metrics requires a level of architectural sophistication that standard reporting tools cannot support.

The challenge is twofold: technological and organizational. Technologically, the rigid data modeling techniques of the past (such as the classic Star Schema) struggle to adapt to the rapid changes in business logic required by agile SMEs. Organizationally, the adoption of advanced technologies like Artificial Intelligence (AI) and complex BI tools is often hindered by cultural resistance and a lack of perceived utility, factors well-documented in the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and the Technology-Organization-Environment (TOE) framework [3].

This paper seeks to address these challenges by proposing an integrated framework. We argue that by combining the agility of Data Vault 2.0 modeling [6] with a robust understanding of adoption psychology [30] and Knowledge Management Systems [2], SMEs can construct a "resilient data ecosystem." This ecosystem does not just report on the past; it builds Intellectual Capital [31], enabling sustainable competitive advantage [11] in a post-crisis economy.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW AND THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

2.1 SMEs, Crisis, and the Imperative for Agility

The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the SME sector was profound, exposing fragilities in supply chains and cash flow management. Research by Hossain et al. [1] indicates that firms capable of rapid digital adoption—specifically in communication and monitoring—were significantly more likely to survive the downturn. This aligns with broader management theories suggesting that resilience is a function of information processing capability. In an emerging economy context, where resources are scarcer, the efficiency of this information processing becomes the primary differentiator between bankruptcy and survival.

2.2 Knowledge Management Systems (KMS) as the Foundation

Before discussing specific tools, it is vital to understand the theoretical substrate: Knowledge Management. Maier [2] defines Knowledge Management Systems (KMS) not as mere repositories of data, but as ICT environments that support the creation, transfer, and application of knowledge. In the context of BI, this distinction is critical. A dashboard that displays a number is a data point; a dashboard that explains why a number changed and prompts a specific action is a KMS. The transition from data to wisdom requires a system that supports context—something often lost in raw ETL (Extract, Transform, Load) processes.

2.3 The TAM-TOE Integration in AI and BI Adoption

Understanding why SMEs adopt—or fail to adopt—advanced analytics requires a robust behavioral model. Chatterjee et al. [3] successfully integrated the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) with the TOE framework to analyze AI adoption in manufacturing.

- Technology Context: Refers to the perceived usefulness and ease of use (TAM core) alongside the availability of external technologies.
- Organization Context: Includes firm size, scope, and the availability of slack resources.
- Environment Context: Encompasses industry pressure, government regulation, and competitor behavior.

This integrated model helps explain the hesitation often seen in SMEs. While the "Perceived Usefulness" of BI might be high, the "Organizational Readiness" (e.g., lack of data engineers) often acts as a blocking

mechanism. Furthermore, Dadhich and Hiran [4] extended this model to show that environmental sustainability goals are increasingly driving the adoption of high-order analytics. SMEs are realizing that operational efficiency—driven by data—is a prerequisite for meeting modern environmental standards.

2.4 The Evolution of Data Architecture: Enter Data Vault 2.0

For decades, the Kimball (Star Schema) and Inmon (3NF) approaches dominated data warehousing. While effective, they carry significant technical debt when business rules change.

Linstedt [6] introduced Data Vault 2.0 to address the specific needs of agile, auditable, and scalable data warehousing. Unlike the Star Schema, which refactors historical data to fit current reporting needs, the Data Vault separates structural information (Hubs), relationships (Links), and descriptive attributes (Satellites).

- Hubs: Represent core business keys (e.g., Customer ID, Product SKU) that rarely change.
- Links: Describe the associations between Hubs (e.g., Customer bought Product).
- Satellites: Contain the descriptive data (e.g., Customer Name, Price) and, crucially, the timestamped history of changes.

Vines and Tănăsescu [7] validated this approach through industry interviews, finding that organizations utilizing Data Vault modeling reported significantly lower costs of refactoring when upstream source systems changed. For an SME, where business models may pivot rapidly, this architectural flexibility is invaluable.

2.5 Business Intelligence Tools and Governance

The final layer of the stack is the presentation layer. The market is bifurcated between proprietary giants and open-source alternatives. Carlisle [14] provides a comparative analysis of Tableau and Microsoft Power BI, noting that while Tableau offers superior visualization customization, Power BI's integration with the Microsoft ecosystem offers a lower barrier to entry for many SMEs. Conversely, Golfarelli [12] highlights the functional viability of open-source platforms, which, while requiring higher technical proficiency, eliminate licensing costs.

However, tools are useless without governance. Chugh and Grandhi [10] emphasize that BI Governance must be integrated with Corporate Governance. Without defined roles, data dictionaries, and source-of-truth definitions, self-service BI quickly degenerates into conflicting reports and organizational mistrust.

3. THE CONVERGENCE OF KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT AND DATA ARCHITECTURE

To fully appreciate the necessity of a new framework for SME resilience, one must explore the friction that currently exists between static Knowledge Management theory and dynamic Data Engineering reality. The literature often treats these as separate domains—Maier [2] discusses KMS in the abstract, while El-Sappagh et al. [8] discuss ETL in the concrete. However, for an SME to achieve the "Competitive Advantage" described by Patel [11], these two domains must not only intersect but merge.

3.1 The Failure of Traditional ETL in High-Velocity Environments

El-Sappagh et al. [8] proposed models for data warehouse ETL processes that emphasized data cleansing and transformation prior to loading. In a stable environment, this "clean then load" approach is logical. However, in the post-COVID volatility described by Hossain et al. [1], the definition of "clean" data changes rapidly.

Consider an SME retailer. In 2019, "Sales" might have been defined as in-store transactions. In 2020, "Sales" expanded to include curbside pickup, third-party delivery apps, and direct-to-consumer shipping. In a traditional Star Schema, reshaping the "Sales Fact Table" to accommodate these new dimensions and measures requires significant re-engineering. The historical data might be lost or corrupted in an attempt to force it into the new structure. This rigidity creates a "Knowledge Gap." The organization loses the granular history of how it evolved because the data architecture forced a rewriting of history to suit the present.

3.2 Data Vault 2.0 as a Knowledge Repository

This is where Linstedt's Data Vault 2.0 [6] transcends mere technical specification and becomes a strategic asset. By using the Hub-Link-Satellite model, the Data Vault allows for 100% auditability.

- The Hub as the Concept: In KM terms, the "Hub" represents the semantic existence of a business object (e.g., "The Customer"). It is immutable.
- The Satellite as the Context: The "Satellite" captures the context of that object at a specific point in time. If the customer moves, a new record is inserted with a new timestamp. The old address is never deleted or overwritten.
- The Link as the Relationship: As business rules change (e.g., a customer is now related to a "Subscription" rather than just a "Transaction"), new

Links can be added without disrupting existing structures.

This architecture inherently supports Todericiu and Stăniţ's [5] concept of Intellectual Capital. The "Structural Capital" of the firm is preserved within the historical raw data of the Satellites. The organization retains a perfect memory of its operational state at any given moment in history, allowing for "Time Travel" queries. For an SME executive, this means the ability to ask, "What did we know about our inventory turnover on March 15th, 2020, compared to today?" with absolute precision. This capability is foundational for training AI models, which require undistorted historical data to identify patterns—a key component of the TAM-TOE adoption curve [3].

3.3 The Extended TOE Framework and Sustainability

Dadhich and Hiran [4] utilized a high-order PLS-ANN (Partial Least Squares-Artificial Neural Network) approach to investigate the extended TOE model. Their findings are pivotal for this discussion. They found that "Operating Performance" is causally linked to "Environmental Sustainability."

We can extrapolate this to the data architecture discussion. A "Sustainable" data architecture is one that consumes fewer human resources to maintain. Technical debt is a form of waste. When an SME employs a rigid architecture that requires 40 hours of manual labor to update a report every month, they are incurring a high environmental and organizational cost.

By adopting an automated, metadata-driven Data Vault approach, the SME reduces the "Technical" friction (ease of use) and improves the "Organizational" slack (freeing up analysts to analyze rather than fix data). This directly influences the "Environmental" capability, as the firm can now monitor its resource usage (electricity, raw materials, logistics fuel) with greater granularity.

Therefore, the adoption of modern BI is not just about profit; it is about Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and sustainability. The Data Vault provides the audit trail necessary to prove compliance with environmental regulations, turning data from a byproduct into a certification asset.

3.4 Bridging the Gap: From ERP to BI via Intelligence Tools

Koupaei et al. [9] discuss the integration of ERP frameworks with flexible manufacturing. However, ERPs are notoriously user-unfriendly for exploration. They are designed for data entry, not data retrieval. This leads to the "Shadow IT" phenomenon, where employees extract data into spreadsheets to bypass the ERP.

The introduction of tools like Power BI and Tableau [14] creates a "Presentation Layer" that sits on top of the Data Vault. This layer effectively democratizes the Knowledge Management System.

- Power BI: Its strength lies in its integration with the Microsoft stack (Excel, Azure). For an SME already using Office 365, the "Perceived Ease of Use" (TAM) is high. It allows for the rapid creation of "Data Marts" (virtualized views) derived from the Data Vault without physically moving data.
- Tableau: While often more expensive, its visual linguistics allow for deeper exploration of complex datasets.
- Open Source (e.g., Pentaho, SpagoBI): As noted by Bocevska et al. [13], these tools offer powerful integration possibilities but demand a higher "Technology Readiness" from the organization.

The key insight here is that the tool (Tableau/Power BI) is ephemeral, but the architecture (Data Vault) is permanent. SMEs that couple a specific tool too tightly to their data storage risk vendor lock-in. A resilient framework uses the Data Vault as a neutral middle ground, allowing the business to swap BI tools as the market evolves without losing the underlying historical knowledge.

3.5 The Role of AI in the SME Context

Chatterjee et al. [3] discuss AI adoption in manufacturing. In our proposed framework, AI does not replace the BI analyst; it augments them. With a clean, historical Data Vault, SMEs can implement predictive analytics. Instead of a dashboard showing "Sales dropped 5% last month" (Descriptive BI), the system can flag "Sales are predicted to drop 5% next month due to supply chain latency in Region X" (Predictive AI). This shift is the ultimate realization of BI success determinants identified by Jamaludin and Mansor [15]. Success is not defined by the installation of the software, but by the shift from reactive to proactive management.

4. METHODOLOGY

4.1 Research Design

This study employs a Systematic Integrative Review utilizing a multi-method approach. The objective is to synthesize technical architectural standards with management theories to construct a coherent framework. Unlike a standard Systematic Literature Review (SLR) which focuses solely on summarizing past research, an integrative review allows for the combination of empirical and theoretical literature to create new conceptual models.

4.2 Data Collection and Selection

The research draws upon a curated list of twenty-five high-impact sources ranging from 2007 to 2025. Sources were selected based on three criteria:

1. Relevance to SMEs: Focus on resource-constrained environments (e.g., Hossain et al. [1]).

2. Technical Rigor: Specificity regarding data modeling and tool architecture (e.g., Linstedt [6], El-Sappagh [8]).

3. Theoretical Depth: Application of established management frameworks like TAM, TOE, and Intellectual Capital (e.g., Chatterjee [3], Todericiu [5]).

4.3 Framework Construction

The "Agile-SME BI Architecture" framework was developed by mapping the failure points identified in the literature (e.g., rigid ERPs, lack of governance, cultural resistance) against the solution capabilities of specific technologies (Data Vault flexibility, Self-Service BI). The framework posits three layers: The Data Ingestion Layer (Sourcing), The Data Integration Layer (Data Vault), and the Knowledge Presentation Layer (BI Tools).

5. RESULTS

5.1 The Agile-SME BI Architecture

The primary result of this study is the definition of a layered architecture suitable for SMEs.

- Layer 1: The Raw Data Vault. All data from internal ERPs [9] and external sources (market data, social sentiment) is loaded into the Raw Vault. No business rules are applied here. This ensures that the "truth" of the source system is preserved 100%. This addresses the "auditability" requirement critical for finance and compliance.

- Layer 2: The Business Vault. Business rules (transformations, calculations) are applied here to create Links and Satellites that represent the current understanding of the business. If the business logic changes, only this layer is rebuilt, leaving the Raw Vault touched.

- Layer 3: The Information Marts. These are disposable views created specifically for Power BI or Tableau [14]. They are optimized for read-performance.

5.2 Comparative Tool Analysis

Analyzing the literature on BI tools [12, 13, 14] reveals a distinct trade-off matrix for SMEs:

- Cost Efficiency: Open Source platforms [12] score highest, but the Total Cost of Ownership (TCO) increases when factoring in the specialized labor required to maintain them.

- User Adoption: Power BI demonstrates the highest potential for adoption due to interface familiarity, lowering the barrier identified in the TAM model [3].

- Visual Capability: Tableau remains the leader in complex visual analytics, essential for identifying non-linear patterns in large datasets.

5.3 Intellectual Capital Correlation

Todericiu and Stăniţ [5] argue that Intellectual Capital is the key competitive advantage. Our analysis suggests that BI maturity is a direct proxy for Structural Capital. An SME that relies on "tribal knowledge" (information stored in employees' heads) has high Human Capital but low Structural Capital. If that employee leaves, the asset is lost. By externalizing this knowledge into a Governed BI system [10], the SME converts volatile Human Capital into permanent Structural Capital.

6. DISCUSSION

6.1 Strategic Implications: The Move to Predictive Resilience

The synthesis of the reviewed literature suggests that the future of SME resilience lies in "predictive governance." The combination of AI and BI, as discussed by Chatterjee [3], allows firms to simulate scenarios. For example, using the historical data stored in the Data Vault, an SME could model the impact of a 20% supply chain disruption. This capability transforms the firm from a passive victim of market forces to an active strategist.

6.2 Governance as a Cultural Challenge

Chugh and Grandhi [10] provide a critical warning: tools do not fix culture. The implementation of this framework requires a shift in mindset. Management must enforce data governance not as a bureaucratic hurdle, but as a safety standard. Just as a factory worker must wear safety gear, a knowledge worker must adhere to data entry standards. This requires "Top Management Support," a key variable in the TOE framework [30].

6.3 Limitations

This study acknowledges several limitations. First, the proposed framework assumes a minimum level of digital literacy within the SME, which may not exist in all traditional sectors. Second, the implementation of Data Vault 2.0 requires a learning curve that may initially slow down development before accelerating it. Finally, the rapid pace of AI development means that specific tool recommendations (Tableau vs. Power BI) may become obsolete as Generative AI interfaces (using natural language to query data) become standard.

7. CONCLUSION

The post-COVID era demands that SMEs abandon the intuition-based management styles of the past in favor of evidence-based decision-making. However, purchasing a BI tool is not a strategy. This paper has demonstrated that true resilience requires a holistic integration of agile data architecture (Data Vault 2.0), robust governance, and a strategic understanding of adoption psychology (TAM-TOE).

By treating data as a permanent asset through immutable modeling techniques, SMEs can build a reservoir of Intellectual Capital. This reservoir fuels the analytical engines—Business Intelligence and Artificial Intelligence—that provide the foresight needed to navigate future crises. The path to competitive advantage lies not in the volume of data collected, but in the structural agility with which it is managed, interpreted, and acted upon.

REFERENCES

1. Hossain MR, Akhter F, Sultana MM. SMEs in Covid-19 crisis and combating strategies: a systematic literature review (SLR) and a case from emerging economy. Oper Res Perspectives. 2022. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orp.2022.100222.
2. Maier R. Knowledge management systems: information and communication technologies for knowledge management. Heidelberg: Springer Berlin; 2007. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-71408-8.
3. Chatterjee S, Rana NP, Dwivedi YK, Baabdullah AM. Understanding AI adoption in manufacturing and production firms using an integrated TAM-TOE model. Technol Forecast Soc Chang. 2021;170:120880. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TECHFORE.2021.120880.
4. Dadhich M, Hiran KK. Empirical investigation of extended TOE model on corporate environment sustainability and dimensions of operating performance of SMEs: a high order PLS-ANN approach. J Clean Prod. 2022. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.132309.
5. Todericiu R, Stăniţ A. Intellectual capital—the key for sustainable competitive advantage for the SME's sector. Procedia Econ Finance. 2015;27:676–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/s2212-5671(15)01048-5.
6. Linstedt, D. Building a Scalable Data Warehouse with Data Vault 2.0, 1st ed.; Morgan Kaufmann: Boston, MA, USA, 2016; ISBN 978-0-128-02648-9.
7. Vines, A.; Tănăsescu, L. Data Vault Modeling: Insights from Industry Interviews. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Business Excellence, Bucharest, Romania, 21–22 March 2024; Volume 18, pp. 3597–3605. [CrossRef]
8. El-Sappagh, S.; Hendawi, A.; El-Bastawissy, A. A proposed model for data warehouse ETL processes. J. King Saud Univ.—Comput. Inf. Sci. 2011, 23, 91–104. [CrossRef]
9. Koupaei, M. N., Mohammadi, M., & Naderi, B. (2016). An integrated enterprise resources planning (erp) framework for flexible manufacturing systems using business intelligence (bi) tools. International Journal of Supply and Operations Management, 3(1), 1112.
10. Chugh, R., & Grandhi, S. (2013). Why Business Intelligence?: Significance of Business Intelligence Tools and Integrating BI Governance with Corporate Governance. International Journal of E-Entrepreneurship and Innovation (IJEEI), 4(2), 1-14.
11. Dip Bharatbhai Patel. (2025). Leveraging BI for Competitive Advantage: Case Studies from Tech Giants. Frontiers in Emerging Engineering & Technologies, 2(04), 15–21. Retrieved from https://irjernet.com/index.php/feet/article/view/166
12. Golfarelli, M. (2009, August). Open source BI platforms: a functional and architectural comparison. In International Conference on Data Warehousing and Knowledge Discovery (pp. 287-297). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
13. Bocevska, A., Savoska, S., & Milevski, I. (2017). BI Tools Analysis According to Business Criteria as Data Integration Possibilities, Hardware Specification, Tools for Data Visualization and Comparison of Used Technologies.
14. Carlisle, S. (2018). Software: Tableau and microsoft power bi. Technology| Architecture+ Design, 2(2), 256-259.
15. Jamaludin, I. A., & Mansor, Z. (2011). Review on Business Intelligence (BI) success determinants in project implementation. International Journal of Computer Applications, 33(8), 24-27.